



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary: Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	[There is no reportable action as a result of the
2	Board of Supervisors' closed session held today.]
3	
4	
5	
6	SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ASK THE BOARD TO COME ORDER. THE
7	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING IS NOW IN SESSION AND WE ARE
8	GOING TO BE LED, ASK EVERYBODY TO PLEASE RISE FOR THE
9	INVOCATION AND THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. WE'RE GOING TO BE LED
10	IN THE INVOCATION BY PASTOR TOM CIZMAR OF THE PRINCE OF PEACE
11	LUTHERAN CHURCH IN CASTAIC AND THE PLEDGE WILL BE LED BY
12	PIERRE A. LE VEAUX, MEMBER OF POST NO. 17, LONG BEACH DISABLED
13	AMERICAN VETERANS. PASTOR CIZMAR.
14	
15	PASTOR TOM CIZMAR: READING FROM LUKE, CHAPTER 9, WHEN THE
16	APOSTLES RETURNED, THEY REPORTED WHAT THEY HAD DONE THEN HE
17	TOOK THEM WITH THEM AND THEY WITHDREW BY THEMSELVES TO A TOWN
18	CALLED BETHSAIDA. THE CROWDS LEARNED ABOUT IT AND FOLLOWED
19	HIM. HE WELCOMED THEM AND SPOKE TO THEM ABOUT THE KINGDOM OF
20	GOD AND HEALED THOSE WHO NEEDED HEALING. LATE IN THE
21	AFTERNOON, THE 12 CAME TO HIM AND SAID, "SEND THE CROWD AWAY
22	SO THEY CAN GO TO THE SURROUNDING VILLAGES AND COUNTRYSIDE AND
23	FIND FOOD AND LODGING BECAUSE WE ARE IN A REMOTE PLACE HERE."
24	BUT HE REPLIED, "YOU GIVE THEM SOMETHING TO EAT." AND THEY
25	ANSWERED, "WE HAVE ONLY FIVE LOAVES OF BREAD HERE AND TWO



- 1 FISH. UNLESS WE GO OUT AND BUY ALL FOOD FOR THIS CROWD", FOR
- 2 THERE WAS ABOUT 5,000 MEN THERE, BUT HE SAID TO HIS DISCIPLES,
- 3 "HAVE THEM SIT DOWN IN GROUPS OF ABOUT 50 EACH," AND THE
- 4 DISCIPLES DID SO. AND EVERYONE SAT TOWN, TAKING THE FIVE
- 5 LOAVES AND THE TWO FISH AND LOOKING UP TO HEAVEN HE GAVE
- 6 THANKS AND BROKE THEM AND HE GAVE THEM TO THE DISCIPLES AND
- 7 SET THEM BEFORE THE PEOPLE AND THEY ALL ATE AND WERE
- 8 SATISFIED. AND THE DISCIPLES PICKED UP 12 BASKETS OF BROKEN
- 9 PIECES THAT WERE LEFT OVER. IN LIGHT OF THAT STORY, I WANTED
- 10 TO SHARE WITH YOU A TRUE AND PERSONAL STORY ABOUT A WOMAN
- 11 NAMED ANN. MARRIED WITH SEVEN KIDS, CAME TO CALIFORNIA IN THE
- 12 1960S, ONLY TO HAVE HER HUSBAND BECOME DISABLED AT 37 YEARS
- 13 OLD WITH MUSCULAR DYSTROPHY AND SEVERE DIABETES WHICH PLUNGED
- 14 THIS FAMILY INTO POVERTY IN PACOIMA. ANNE SCRUBBED NEIGHBORS'
- 15 FLOORS AND TOOK IN LAUNDRY TO TRY TO SUPPLEMENT HER SOCIAL
- 16 SECURITY DISABILITY BENEFITS BUT EVEN THAT WASN'T ENOUGH TO
- 17 FEED HER SEVEN KIDS AND A SICK HUSBAND. AT ONE POINT, ANN HAD
- 18 A NERVOUS BREAKDOWN WHEN THE CUPBOARDS WERE EMPTY AND THE
- 19 CHILDREN WERE HUNGRY BUT THE NUNS SHOWED UP AT THE DOOR AND
- 20 THEY TOOK ANN IN. SHE RECOVERED AT OLIVE VIEW AND, UPON HER
- 21 RELEASE, SHE WAS SENT TO AN L.A. COUNTY VOCATIONAL COUNSELOR,
- 22 WHO TOOK THE TIME TO TEST HER ABILITIES AND TALENTS AND THEN
- 23 OFFERED HER AN OPPORTUNITY TO RETURN TO SCHOOL AND TO BECOME A
- 24 NURSE THROUGH AN L.A. COUNTY GRANT THAT WAS DESIGNED TO GET
- 25 PEOPLE OFF OF WELFARE. WELL, ANN NOT ONLY DID WELL BUT SHE



- 1 LITERALLY GRADUATED AT THE TOP OF HER CLASS AND SHE WENT ON TO
- 2 WORK AT KAISER HOSPITAL IN PANORAMA CITY, WHICH GRANTED
- 3 MEDICAL BENEFITS TO THE ENTIRE FAMILY. TODAY, ANN IS RETIRED
- 4 FROM KAISER AND LIVING IN A RETIREMENT COMMUNITY IN SAN
- 5 MARCOS. HER CHILDREN ARE ALL WELL, COLLEGE GRADUATES WITH KIDS
- 6 OF HER OWN, MOST OF THEM LIVING IN L.A. COUNTY AND GIVING BACK
- 7 TO THE COMMUNITY IN A POSITIVE WAY, A DEPUTY, A TEACHER, A
- 8 SCHOOL PRINCIPAL, A PASTOR, A GYM FOR KIDS, AN X-RAY
- 9 TECHNICIAN, AN ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY TECHNICIAN AND ONE
- 10 INVOLVED NOW IN THE SOLAR INDUSTRY. YES, AN ENTIRE FAMILY, AND
- 11 EVEN ANN'S GRANDCHILDREN, ARE ALL DOING WELL TODAY BUT ONLY
- 12 BECAUSE, MORE THAN THREE DECADES AGO, THE L.A. COUNTY BOARD OF
- 13 SUPERVISORS CHOSE TO NOT ONLY PAY THEIR BILLS BUT TO MAKE A
- 14 DIFFERENCE IN PEOPLE'S LIVES. THE BOARD HERE HAS LITERALLY
- 15 MULTIPLIED THE LOAVES. EACH AND EVERY DAY YOU FEED THE HUNGRY
- 16 AND YOU CLOTHE THE NAKED. YOU OFFER PEOPLE AN OPPORTUNITY TO
- 17 GET OFF WELFARE. AND, BY LOOKING AHEAD AND SEEING THE BIG
- 18 PICTURE FOR GENERATION AFTER GENERATION, THE GOOD LORD HAS
- 19 BLESSED YOU WITH THE RESOURCES TO CONTINUE TO MAKE A
- 20 DIFFERENCE IN THE LIVES OF MANY PEOPLE THROUGHOUT LOS ANGELES
- 21 COUNTY. SO, TODAY, I'M HERE TO ENCOURAGE YOU TO KEEP GOING, TO
- 22 KEEP TRUSTING THE LORD IN HIS WISDOM AND HIS GUIDANCE FOR THE
- 23 FUTURE AND YET I'M ALSO HERE TO GIVE YOU A PERSONAL THANK YOU
- 24 ON BEHALF OF MY MOTHER, ANN, AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OF HER
- 25 CHILDREN AND HER GRANDCHILDREN. EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU HAVE



- 1 MADE A DRAMATIC DIFFERENCE IN THE LIVES OF MANY IN THE MIDST
- 2 OF MANY TOUGH DECISIONS THAT YOU HAVE TO MAKE EACH AND EVERY
- 3 DAY BUT YOU'VE GIVEN MY FAMILY A BEAUTIFUL FUTURE AS A RESULT
- 4 OF YOUR WORK. THANK YOU AND MAY THE LORD CONTINUE TO BLESS AND
- 5 GUIDE EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU AS YOU SEEK TO PROTECT AND
- 6 BLESS THE NEXT GENERATION THROUGHOUT LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND
- 7 FAR BEYOND. AMEN.

8

- 9 PIERRE A. LE VEAUX: PLEASE REMAIN STANDING WITH YOUR RIGHT
- 10 HAND OVER YOUR HEART. THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. [PLEDGE OF
- 11 ALLEGIANCE]

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, PASTOR, FOR THAT VERY
- 14 INSPIRATIONAL MESSAGE AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH FOR A
- 15 PRESENTATION.

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: PASTOR TOM IS FROM CASTAIC, WAS RAISED IN THE
- 18 SAN FERNANDO VALLEY. HE RECEIVED HIS CALL TO THE MINISTRY TWO
- 19 YEARS OUT OF HIGH SCHOOL. HE WORKED HIS WAY THROUGH COLLEGE
- 20 AND IS A GRADUATE OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AT
- 21 NORTHRIDGE WITH A MAJOR IN RELIGIOUS STUDIES AND HIS MASTER'S
- 22 OF DIVINITY DEGREE WAS FROM WETBERG THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY IN
- 23 IOWA UNDER THE AMERICAN LUTHERAN CHURCH. HE WAS PART OF
- 24 ESTABLISHING THE CELEBRATION CHRISTIAN LUTHERAN CHURCH IN
- 25 BURBANK, WHICH MERGED WITH THE FIRST LUTHERAN CHURCH IN



- 1 BURBANK AND ENJOYS THE CLERGY AND TEACHING SMALL GROUP BIBLE
- 2 STUDIES AND HE'S MARRIED AND IS A STEPFATHER OF TWO DAUGHTERS
- 3 AND HE HAS ONE SON. SO PASTOR, THANK YOU FOR COMING DOWN. [
- 4 APPLAUSE 1

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR KNABE.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,
- 9 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS MY PRIVILEGE AND HONOR TO PRESENT
- 10 A CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION TO MR. PIERRE LE VEAUX WHO, AS
- 11 WE HEARD EARLIER, IS A MEMBER OF THE DISABLED AMERICAN
- 12 VETERAN'S POST NO. 17 IN THE GREAT CITY OF LONG BEACH. HE
- 13 SERVED IN OUR UNITED STATES COAST GUARD FROM 1972 TO 1976. HE
- 14 ATTAINED THE RANK OF PETTY OFFICER SECOND CLASS WITH THE COAST
- 15 GUARD UNIT, WHITE HOLLY. HE RECEIVED THE UNITED STATES COAST
- 16 GUARD GOOD CONDUCT MEDAL AND THE NATIONAL DEFENSE MEDAL. HE
- 17 CURRENTLY IS AN OUTREACH SPECIALIST FOR AMERICORP AND HE HAS
- 18 LIVED IN THE FOURTH DISTRICT FOR 30 YEARS. HE IS MARRIED WITH
- 19 TWO CHILDREN AND SO, PIERRE, ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY
- 20 COLLEAGUES AND ALL THE CITIZENS OF THIS GREAT COUNTRY, WE
- 21 THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICE TO AMERICA AND WE THANK YOU FOR
- 22 TAKING THE TIME TO COME DOWN AND LEAD US IN THE PLEDGE OF
- 23 ALLEGIANCE.

24

25 PIERRE A. LE VEAUX: THANK YOU, SIR. [APPLAUSE]



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY, THANK YOU. BOARD SECRETARY
- 3 WILL CALL THE AGENDA.

4

- 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF
- 6 THE BOARD. WE WILL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE THREE, NOTICES
- 7 OF CLOSED SESSION. ON ITEM CS-2, COUNTY COUNSEL REQUESTS THAT
- 8 THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO FEBRUARY 27, 2008[SIC].

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WITHOUT OBJECTION, THAT'LL BE THE
- 11 ORDER.

12

- 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON PAGE 6, AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE
- 14 REGIONAL PARK AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, ITEMS 1P AND 2P.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MS. BURKE MOVES, MR. ANTONOVICH
- 17 SECONDS, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

- 19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 1 THROUGH 10,
- 20 ON ITEM NUMBER 2, SUPERVISOR BURKE REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE
- 21 CONTINUED TWO WEEKS TO MARCH 6TH, 2007 AND, ON ITEM NO. 9,
- 22 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH WOULD LIKE TO AMEND THIS RECOMMENDATION
- 23 BY DELETING THE THIRD BULLET AND ADDING A THIRD BULLET WHICH
- 24 WOULD STATE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DIRECT THE EXECUTIVE
- 25 DIRECTOR OF THE LOS ANGELES HOUSING AUTHORITY TO PRESENT HIS



- 1 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS IN OPEN SESSION AT THE REGULAR
- 2 BOARD MEETING OF MARCH 6TH, 2007.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ANY OBJECTION TO THAT AMENDMENT?
- 5 WITHOUT OBJECTION, THAT WILL BE ACCEPTED AND NOW WE HAVE THE
- 6 ITEM BEFORE US. MR. ANTONOVICH MOVES-- WELL, IT'S MR.
- 7 ANTONOVICH MOVES, MS. BURKE SECONDS, UNANIMOUS VOTE ON ALL THE
- 8 ITEMS AS AMENDED ON NUMBER ITEM 2 WILL BE PUT OVER FOR TWO
- 9 WEEKS, MARCH 6TH.

10

- 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, ITEMS 11
- 12 THROUGH 15.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. KNABE MOVES, MS. BURKE
- 15 SECONDS, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

16

17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL, ITEM 16.

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. CHAIR? WERE WE GOING TO CONTINUE ITEM 11
- 20 FOR A WEEK?

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE: I THOUGHT THAT WAS THE REQUEST, TO CONTINUE THE
- 23 ITEM?



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S WHAT I THOUGHT. SUPERVISOR MOLINA ASKED
- 2 THAT IT BE OVER ONE WEEK.

3

4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THAT REQUEST DID NOT COME INTO OUR OFFICE.

5

6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE'LL CHECK THAT WHILE YOU GO THROUGH.

7

8 SUP. KNABE: RECONSIDER.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. KNABE MOVES, BURKE
- 11 SECONDS TO RECONSIDER THE ITEM.

12

13 SUP. KNABE: CONTINUE ONE WEEK.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHY DON'T YOU CHECK IT? JUST HOLD IT AND
- 16 CHECK IT.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: LET'S HOLD IT, SUPERVISOR MOLINA
- 19 IS NOT GOING TO BE GONE NEXT WEEK, APPARENTLY.

20

21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SHE WILL BE HERE NEXT WEEK NOW.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: CHANGE OF PLANS.

24

25 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT.



1 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANY OBJECTION, THEN? DO 3 YOU WANT TO STILL HOLD IT ON THE TABLE? 4 5 SUP. KNABE: NO, NO, I JUST WAS-- MOVE TO RECONSIDER AND 6 CONTINUE A WEEK SO... 7 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S RIGHT. OKAY. WITHOUT 9 OBJECTION, THAT WILL BE THE ORDER. ITEM 11 IS CONTINUED UNTIL NEXT WEEK. 10 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEMS 12 THROUGH 15, I BELIEVE, WERE THOSE 12 13 APPROVED? 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. KNABE MOVES, BURKE SECONDS, 15 16 UNANIMOUS VOTE ON 12 THROUGH 15. 17 18 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL, ITEM 16. 19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. BURKE MOVES, MR. KNABE
- 21 SECONDS, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

- 23 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICE, ON ITEM 17, AS
- 24 INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE RECOMMENDATION
- 25 SHOULD READ, "APPROVE AND INSTRUCT THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN A SOLE



- 1 SOURCE AGREEMENT WITH ORACLE U.S.A. INCORPORATED AT A TOTAL
- 2 ANNUAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED 3 MILLION" AND THERE'S ALSO A
- 3 REQUEST FROM THE MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT, IT WILL BE HELD.

6

7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES, ITEM NUMBER 18.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. ANTONOVICH MOVES, MS. BURKE
- 10 SECONDS, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

11

- 12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, ITEMS 19 AND
- 13 20. ON ITEM NUMBER 20, SUPERVISOR KNABE AND SUPERVISOR
- 14 ANTONOVICH REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ITEM 19 IS BEFORE
- 15 YOU.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ITEM 19, MR. KNABE MOVES, MS.
- 18 BURKE SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE. I THOUGHT THERE WAS GOING TO BE
- 19 A REQUEST TO CONTINUE ITEM 20 FOR A COUPLE OF WEEKS. IS THAT?

20

- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I BELIEVE THAT SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH HAS A
- 22 MOTION THAT WILL ADDRESS THE SAME ISSUE.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. SO LET'S HOLD IT AND WE'LL
- 25 SEE WHERE WE GO. GO AHEAD, NEXT ITEM.

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: FIRE DEPARTMENT, ITEMS 21 AND 22. ON ITEM 2 3 21, THERE IS A REQUEST BY A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HELD THIS ITEM. 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT WILL BE HELD. 6 7 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: HEALTH SERVICES, ITEM 20-- I'M SORRY, 22 IS BEFORE YOU. 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BURKE MOVES, KNABE SECONDS, 11 UNANIMOUS VOTE. 12 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: HEALTH SERVICES, ITEM 23. 14 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: KNABE MOVES, BURKE SECONDS, 16 UNANIMOUS VOTE. 17 18 19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: INTERNAL SERVICES, ITEMS 24. 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ANTONOVICH MOVES, BURKE SECONDS, 21 22 UNANIMOUS VOTE. 23 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PARKS AND RECREATION, ITEMS 25 THROUGH 30, 24

ON ITEM NUMBER 27, LET'S SEE, THE DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND



- 1 RECREATION REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO
- 2 FEBRUARY 27, 2008.

3

4 SUP. KNABE: WHICH ITEM IS THAT, 27?

5

- 6 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 27. AND, ON ITEM NO. 28, THE DIRECTOR OF
- 7 PARKS AND RECREATION REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE REFERRED BACK
- 8 TO THE DEPARTMENT. THE REST ARE BEFORE YOU.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WITHOUT OBJECTION, 27 WILL BE
- 11 CONTINUED FOR ONE WEEK AND 28 WILL BE REFERRED BACK TO THE
- 12 DEPARTMENT. ON THE REMAINDER, MR. KNABE MOVES, MS. BURKE
- 13 SECONDS, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

14

- 15 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC HEALTH, ITEMS 31 AND 32. ON ITEM 31,
- 16 THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE
- 17 CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO FEBRUARY 27TH, 2007 AND THERE'S ALSO A
- 18 REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY, WE'LL HOLD IT.

21

22 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND ITEM 32 IS BEFORE YOU.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ON ITEM 32, MS. BURKE MOVES, MR.
- 25 ANTONOVICH SECONDS, UNANIMOUS VOTE.



1

- 2 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES, ITEMS 33 AND 34. ON
- 3 ITEM 33, AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE DIRECTOR
- 4 OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED
- 5 ONE WEEK TO FEBRUARY 27TH, 2007.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WITHOUT OBJECTION, THAT WILL BE
- 8 THE ORDER.

9

10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC WORKS, ITEMS 35 THROUGH 50.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHAT ABOUT 33 AND 34, 34, RATHER.

13

14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: OH, 34.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BURKE MOVES, ANTONOVICH SECONDS,
- 17 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

18

19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC WORKS, ITEMS 35 THROUGH 50.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: KNABE MOVES, ANTONOVICH SECONDS,
- 22 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

23

24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON PAGE 25, SHERIFF, ITEMS 51 THROUGH 55.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: KNABE MOVES, ANTONOVICH SECONDS,
- 2 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

3

4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR, ITEM 56.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ANTONOVICH MOVES, BURKE SECONDS,
- 7 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

8

- 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ORDINANCES FOR INTRODUCTION ON ITEM 57.
- 10 I'LL READ THE SHORT TITLE IN FOR THE RECORD. THIS IS AN
- 11 ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 6, SALARIES OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 12 CODE, RELATING TO THE ADDITION, DELETION AND CHANGING OF
- 13 CERTAIN CLASSIFICATIONS, SALARY SCHEDULES AND NUMBER OF
- 14 ORDINANCE POSITIONS IN VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS AS A RESULT OF
- 15 CLASSIFICATION STUDIES AND THE IMPLEMENTATION OF MEASURE A AND
- 16 TO MAKE VARIOUS TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MS. BURKE MOVES, MR. KNABE
- 19 SECONDS, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

- 21 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEMS 58 THROUGH 71, I'LL READ THE SHORT
- 22 TITLE IN FOR THE RECORD ON ALL OF THESE. THE ORDINANCE IS
- 23 EXTENDING THROUGH JANUARY 2ND, 2008, FRANCHISES TO PROVIDE
- 24 CABLE TELEVISION SERVICES IN VARIOUS UNINCORPORATED AREAS AND
- 25 REFLECTING A CHANGE IN THE NAME OF THE FRANCHISEES AS NOTED,



- 1 TIME WARNER CABLE INCORPORATED, PHARMA LILY, CENTURY TCI,
- 2 CALIFORNIA LP, LA HABRA HEIGHTS, MARINA DEL RAY, FRANKLIN
- 3 CANYON AND SOUTH WHITTIER AREAS, TIME WARNER, NY CABLE, LLC,
- 4 FORMERLY ADELPHIA COMMUNICATIONS OF CALIFORNIA, LLC, LITTLE
- 5 ROCK LAKE, LOS ANGELES, PERRY LYNN, PALMDALE AND WALNUT PARK
- 6 AREAS, LONG BEACH, LLC, LONG BEACH AREA, FALCON CABLEVISION,
- 7 MALIBU AREA, TIME WARNER CABLE LLC, FORMERLY TIME WARNER CABLE
- 8 INCORPORATED, NORTH TORRANCE AREA, CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS
- 9 ENTERTAINMENT 2 LLC, NORWALK, SOUTH MONROVIA AND WEST COVINA
- 10 AREAS, TIME WARNER CABLE INCORPORATED, FORMERLY COMCAST OF
- 11 NEWHALL LLC, SAUGUS AREA, TIME WARNER ENTERTAINMENT COMPANY LP
- 12 STEVENSON RANCH AREA.

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE HAVE ALL OF THOSE ITEMS BEFORE

15 US. THAT'S ITEMS STARTING FROM WHICH ITEM, SACHI?

17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 58 THROUGH 71.

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 58 THROUGH 71. MS. BURKE MOVES,

20 MR. ANTONOVICH SECONDS, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

22 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ORDINANCES FOR ADOPTION, ITEMS 72 THROUGH

23 88.

24

13

16

18



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. ANTONOVICH MOVES, MR. KNABE
- 2 SECONDS, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SEPARATE MATTER, ON ITEM 89, WE WILL HOLD
- 5 THIS FOR A REPORT.

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

8

- 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MISCELLANEOUS ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA
- 10 REQUESTED BY BOARD MEMBERS AND THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE
- 11 OFFICER WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE
- 12 MEETING AS INDICATED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. 90A.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY BURKE,
- 15 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

16

17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 90B.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY
- 20 ANTONOVICH, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

21

- 22 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE AGENDA.
- 23 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL
- 24 DISTRICT NUMBER TWO.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I HAVE A SPECIAL PRESENTATION,
- 2 CONSUL GENERAL. WE'RE VERY HONORED THIS AFTERNOON TO WELCOME
- 3 TO LOS ANGELES THE NEW CONSUL GENERAL OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC TO
- 4 OUR AREA, THE HONORABLE DANIEL KUMERMANN. CONSUL GENERAL
- 5 KUMERMANN WAS BORN IN PRAGUE. IN 1975, HE OBTAINED HIS MASTERS
- 6 DEGREE IN ENGLISH AND PHILOSOPHY FROM THE CHARLES UNIVERSITY
- 7 IN PRAGUE. HIS WORK EXPERIENCE BEGAN AS A COMPUTER PROGRAMMER,
- 8 A STORE CLERK, A WINDOW CLEANER AND THEN A JOURNALIST. IT'S A
- 9 VERY GOOD DIVERSE SET OF OCCUPATIONS. HE WAS A JOURNALIST FOR
- 10 VARIOUS CZECH AND ENGLISH NEWSPAPERS IN PRAGUE. IN 1999, HE
- 11 BEGAN HIS SERVICE IN THE FOREIGN MINISTRY AS AN AMBASSADOR TO
- 12 ISRAEL UNTIL 2003. HE THEN WORKED IN THE MINISTRY OF FOREIGN
- 13 AFFAIRS IN PRAGUE IN THE ANALYTICAL DEPARTMENT UNTIL 2006. HE
- 14 IS MARRIED AND HE HAS TWO CHILDREN. AMBASSADOR, ONCE AN
- 15 AMBASSADOR, ALWAYS AN AMBASSADOR. SO WE ARE HONORED TO HAVE
- 16 YOU HERE IN LOS ANGELES. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN OUR COUNTY
- 17 AND THE CZECH REPUBLIC IS VERY SPECIAL, VERY CLOSE. SEVERAL OF
- 18 US HAVE TRAVELED TO YOUR WONDERFUL COUNTRY AND THE BEAUTY OF
- 19 YOUR COUNTRY IS ONLY EXCEEDED BY THE BEAUTY OF ITS PEOPLE AND
- 20 WE HOPE YOU WILL HAVE A GREAT STAY IN THIS NEW POST HERE IN
- 21 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA. [APPLAUSE]

23 THE HONORABLE DANIEL KUMERMANN: THANK YOU.

24



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE'D LIKE TO INVITE THE AMBASSADOR
- 2 TO SAY A FEW WORDS AT THIS TIME.

- 4 THE HONORABLE DANIEL KUMERMANN: I MADE A FEW NOTES BECAUSE,
- 5 AFTER ALL, MY MEMORY IS SLIPPING NOW. GOOD AFTERNOON. I WOULD
- 6 LIKE TO THANK YOU ALL FOR THIS HONOR THAT YOU BESTOWED UPON
- 7 OUR STATE AND UPON MYSELF PERSONALLY AND ALSO, ON A PERSONAL
- 8 BASIS, I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THOSE WHO HELPED TO ORGANIZE THIS
- 9 WHOLE THING. AND AFTERWARDS MR. YAROSLAVSKY SAID ABOUT OUR
- 10 COUNTRY, I DON'T KNOW WHAT MORE I CAN SAY BUT MAYBE EXCEPT A
- 11 LITTLE BIT OF LESSON OF GEOGRAPHY THAT, IF YOU LOOK AT A MAP
- 12 OF EUROPE, WE ARE EXACTLY IN THE MIDDLE AND THAT'S WHY IT'S
- 13 CALLED SOMETIMES HEART OF EUROPE AND THIS HAS SOME UNFORTUNATE
- 14 CONSEQUENCES, ONE OF THEM BEING THAT AS WAS EXPRESSED
- 15 SOMETIMES, I DON'T KNOW, I BELIEVE 18TH CENTURY BY ONE
- 16 JOURNALIST, DON'T REMEMBER HIS NAME, HE SAID THAT WHOEVER
- 17 WANTS TO CONTROL EUROPE MUST CONTROL CZECH BASIN.
- 18 UNFORTUNATELY, IT'S TRUE AND THERE WERE SO MANY PEOPLE WHO
- 19 WANTED TO CONTROL THE COUNTRY, WHICH CREATED SOME SPECIAL
- 20 MODIS REVENDE OF CZECH POPULATION WHICH MOVES BETWEEN THE TWO
- 21 FAMOUS LITERARY FIGURES, ONE ON ONE SIDE, KAFKA, AS YOU MAY
- 22 KNOW AND THE OTHER, GOOD SOLDIER, SCHWAG, AND ALSO IT BROUGHT
- 23 SOMETHING. WE PROBABLY HAVE THE HIGHEST ACCUMULATION OF
- 24 HISTORICAL SITES REGISTERED BY UNESCO AT LEAST PER CAPITA,
- 25 WHICH IS ALSO A LITTLE BIT OF ECONOMICAL PROBLEM BECAUSE IT'S



- 1 NOT ALWAYS EASY TO CARE FOR THEM. AND NOW FOR THE FIRST TIME
- 2 WE ACTUALLY COULD CHOOSE OUR OWN FATE AND WE JOINED YOU, AS
- 3 YOU MAY KNOW, AND WHAT WAS ALSO A NEGATIVE FACTOR IN OUR
- 4 HISTORY SHOULD BE CONSIDERED POSITIVE FOR FOREIGNERS AND
- 5 THAT'S THE FACT THAT, BECAUSE WE ARE IN THE MIDDLE OF EUROPE,
- 6 WE ARE AT A GOOD STARTING POINT FOR ANY ACTIVITY WITHIN EUROPE
- 7 THAT MAY COME FROM THIS COUNTRY. AND THEREFORE I CAN ONLY
- 8 SUGGEST THAT TO YOU AND I CAN TELL YOU THAT ESPECIALLY I KNOW
- 9 AFTER MY OWN EXPERIENCE, THAT, AFTER THE END OF THE COMMUNIST
- 10 REGIME, WE HAD A REAL AVALANCHE OF YOUNG AMERICANS, I THINK,
- 11 AT A WILD GUESS, WAS SOMETHING LIKE 50,000. AS A MATTER OF
- 12 FACT, I KNOW YOU ARE VERY MUCH WORRIED IN THIS COUNTRY ABOUT
- 13 ILLEGALS BUT VERY FEW PEOPLE REALIZE THAT THESE YOUNG
- 14 AMERICANS, IF CONSIDERED PER CAPITA, BY MANY TIMES EXCEEDED
- 15 THE NUMBER OF ANY NUMBER OF POSSIBLE ILLEGAL CZECHS LIVING IN
- 16 UNITED STATES. NOW, WE ARE TRYING TO EXPLAIN THIS IN
- 17 WASHINGTON TO THOSE PEOPLE WHO DEAL WITH THE VISA WAIVER
- 18 PROGRAM BUT SOMEHOW IT DOESN'T WORK. BUT, ANYWAY, SO IT COULD
- 19 BE A SUGGESTION FOR YOU THAT, IF YOU WANT TO DO YOUR BUSINESS
- 20 OR IF YOU WANT TO VISIT EUROPE, YOU SHOULD START IN OUR
- 21 COUNTRY, YOU SHOULD START IN PRAGUE. THANK YOU, AGAIN. [
- 22 APPLAUSE]

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR BURKE.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE WANT TO CALL FORWARD WINI
- 2 JACKSON. WE ALL KNOW WINI AND SHE'S CHOSEN TO RETIRE AFTER 41
- 3 YEARS OF LOYAL AND DEDICATED SERVICE TO THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 4 ANGELES. SHE BEGAN HER COUNTY CAREER IN DECEMBER 1965 WITH THE
- 5 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES AS A TRANSCRIBER/TYPIST.
- 6 BEFORE LONG, SHE PROMOTED THROUGH THE RANKS OF COUNTY
- 7 GOVERNMENT, SERVING VARIOUS POSITIONS FOR THE COUNTY LIBRARY,
- 8 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES BEFORE HER CURRENT
- 9 POSITION WITH THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT. AS
- 10 LONG AS ANYONE CAN REMEMBER, WINI HAS BEEN A VOCAL ADVOCATE
- 11 FOR CHILDREN IN THE COUNTY SYSTEM. SHE HAS DEDICATED HER
- 12 CAREER TO IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR YOUTH, ENSURING
- 13 THAT THEY ARE GIVEN OPPORTUNITIES TO CULTIVATE THEIR FUTURE
- 14 WITH POSITIVE GOALS AND INSPIRATION TO SEEK HIGHER EDUCATION
- 15 AND THE EMPOWERMENT OF HIGH SELF ESTEEM AND CONFIDENCE. SHE'S
- 16 HELPED IMPLEMENT A NUMBER OF PROGRAMS. NOW, SHE GAVE ME A TWO
- 17 PAGE SINGLE SPACED LETTER WITH ALL THESE THINGS IN IT BUT WE
- 18 ARE GOING TO HIGHLIGHT THEM. A NUMBER OF THINGS FOR DCFS,
- 19 INCLUDING FANTASY FIESTA, ADOPTION DAY IN COURT, WEDNESDAY'S
- 20 CHILD, BLACK ADOPTION FESTIVAL AND THE EMANCIPATION GRADUATION
- 21 CELEBRATION AND THESE ARE JUST A FEW THAT WE TOOK OUT OF THE
- 22 MANY, MANY, MANY EVENTS BRINGING TEENAGERS, BRINGING TENNIS
- 23 SHOES TO CHILDREN, ALL OF THOSE THINGS. SHE SPEARHEADED THE
- 24 PROBATION DEPARTMENT'S OPERATION READ, WHICH BEGAN A TUTORIAL
- 25 PROGRAM FOR JUVENILE PROBATION CAMPS AND THE DEPARTMENT OF



- 1 PUBLIC WORKS INTERN PROGRAM FOR COUNTY JUVENILE YOUTH
- 2 TRANSITIONING FROM PROBATION CAMPS BACK TO SOCIETY. ALL OF
- 3 THESE ACCOMPLISHMENTS MENTIONED ARE JUST A SMALL FRACTION OF
- 4 THE MANY ENDEAVORS WINI HAS TAKEN ON DURING HER LENGTHY COUNTY
- 5 CAREER. AND IT'S WITH GREAT PLEASURE WE COMMEND HER FOR HER 41
- 6 YEARS OF WONDERFUL SERVICE. AND WE KNOW SHE'S GOING TO BE
- 7 CONTINUING. SHE HAS ALL KINDS OF PLANS, ALL KINDS OF PROGRAMS
- 8 THAT SHE'LL BE GOING FORWARD WITH. CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU,
- 9 WINI. [APPLAUSE]

- 11 WINI JACKSON: IT HAS BEEN MY PRIVILEGE TO SERVE THE COUNTY FOR
- 12 41 YEARS IN SERVICE TO CHILDREN. IT'S BEEN MY PRIVILEGE TO
- 13 SERVE THIS BOARD. IT'S BEEN MY HEART THAT CHILDREN WILL HAVE
- 14 AN OPPORTUNITY TO BECOME THE MEN AND WOMEN THAT THEY'RE
- 15 SUPPOSED TO BE. I BELIEVE THAT I HAVE STOOD ON THE SHOULDERS
- 16 OF ALL OF OUR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS WHO HAVE PARTNERED WITH ME. I
- 17 COULDN'T DO THIS JOB BY MYSELF. IT TAKES A TEAM. I'M SO
- 18 GRATEFUL TO EVERY COUNTY DEPARTMENT FOR EVERY PUBLIC/PRIVATE
- 19 PARTNERSHIP THAT IS COMING INTO BEING BUT MOST OF ALL I'M
- 20 GRATEFUL FOR THE DEPUTIES. I'M SO GRATEFUL-- [VOICE WAVERING
- 21] I'M GRATEFUL FOR DELL AND FOR WENDY AND JOSEY AND MR.
- 22 BOOKHAMMER AND FOR MR. HILL. I'M GRATEFUL FOR TIM. I'M
- 23 GRATEFUL FOR MATT. I'M GRATEFUL FOR YOUR DEPUTIES BECAUSE,
- 24 WHEN I HAVE CALLED YOU AND I HAVE ASKED FOR HELP, YOU HAVE
- 25 GIVEN ME THAT HELP. YOU WOULD BE SURPRISED. WE DON'T HAVE ANY



- 1 MONEY. I COULDN'T BELIEVE YOU GUYS GAVE ME A WATCH BECAUSE THE
- 2 COUNTY ALWAYS SAID THEY DIDN'T HAVE ANY MONEY. BUT THESE
- 3 COMMENDATIONS GET YOU IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS AND IT HELPS TO
- 4 BUILD PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS. THERE IS NOTHING THAT
- 5 CANNOT BE DONE. IT'S IMPOSSIBLE FOR YOU TO BELIEVE WHAT WE CAN
- 6 GARNER FOR THESE CHILDREN. I AM SO GRATEFUL TO MR. JANSSEN.
- 7 YOU KNOW, I'VE ASKED THE C.A.O. JUST TO HELP ME, SHOW ME WHICH
- 8 WAY TO GO SO MANY TIMES AND I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT THIS WAS
- 9 NOT A JOB FOR ME. IT'S NEVER BEEN ABOUT A JOB. THIS IS WHAT I
- 10 WAS CALLED TO DO. THIS IS MY PLACE OF SERVICE. THIS HAS BEEN A
- 11 COMPLETION OF ONE PART OF MY LIFE. NOW WE CAN START THE SECOND
- 12 PART. YES, MY BACK IS JACKED UP, BUT THAT'S ALL RIGHT, I CAN
- 13 SIT DOWN AND DO THE JOB. I WILL ALWAYS, ALWAYS, ALWAYS SERVE
- 14 CHILDREN. THAT'S WHAT I'M SUPPOSED TO DO AND I JUST WANT TO
- 15 TELL YOU HOW MUCH I LOVE YOU AND HOW MUCH I JUST THANK YOU. I
- 16 APPRECIATE YOU, YOU KNOW. I JUST-- I DON'T KNOW HOW TO SAY
- 17 THAT ANY MORE. I THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO SERVE 41 YEARS.
- 18 I THANK YOU. 41!

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE: YOU HAVEN'T HEARD ANYTHING UNTIL YOU'VE HEARD WINI
- 21 SING. SHE HAS A GORGEOUS, GORGEOUS VOICE. CONGRATULATIONS.

- 23 SUP. KNABE: YVONNE, I JUST-- I WOULD JUST ADD, OBVIOUSLY, I'VE
- 24 HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO WORK FOR THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS WITH
- 25 WINI ON OPERATION READ AND WHAT STARTED OUT OUR FIRST YEAR AT



- 1 ABOUT 1,500 KIDS WORKED ALL THE WAY UP TO 4,000 KIDS A DAY FOR
- 2 THREE DAYS IN A ROW, 12,000 YOUNG PEOPLE THE OPPORTUNITY TO
- 3 READ A BOOK, MAKE A REPORT AND SEE A MOVIE. AND NOT ONLY TO DO
- 4 THAT BUT SOMEHOW WINI GOT AIRPLANE TICKETS FOR A CHILD AND FOR
- 5 A TEACHER AND IT WAS JUST A GREAT TIME BUT A LOT OF WORK, A
- 6 LOT OF EFFORT, A LOT OF COOPERATION AND WORKING WITH MY STAFF
- 7 AND THE OTHER COUNTY DEPARTMENTS. SO WE WISH YOU GOD SPEED,
- 8 WINI, AND BEST OF LUCK.

9

- 10 WINI JACKSON: THANK YOU SO MUCH, THANK YOU SO MUCH, THANK YOU
- 11 SO MUCH, THANK YOU SO MUCH.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: SHE BROUGHT A CAKE. WE'LL BRING SOME CAKE DOWN FOR
- 14 EVERYONE. SHE DIDN'T BAKE THE CAKE. SOMEONE ELSE BAKED THE
- 15 CAKE. (LAUGHING)

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. KNABE, DO YOU HAVE ANY
- 18 PRESENTATIONS?

19

20 SUP. KNABE: NO, I DON'T.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. ANTONOVICH?

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE HAVE LITTLE MAGGIE HERE WHO'S EIGHT WEEKS
- 25 OLD, A LITTLE SPANIEL MIX WHO IS LOOKING FOR A HOME. SHE WAS



- 1 UP IN MY OFFICE A LITTLE EARLIER. MAYBE SHE FOUND A HOME ON
- 2 THE WAY DOWN. HERE COMES MAGGIE. THIS IS LITTLE MAGGIE SO
- 3 WHOEVER WOULD LIKE TO ADOPT HER, 562-728-4644 AND LITTLE
- 4 MAGGIE WILL BE YOURS AS WE ENTER THE YEAR OF THE PIG ON THE
- 5 CHINESE CALENDAR, WHICH BEGAN ON SATURDAY SO SHE WOULD LOVE TO
- 6 BRING HEALTH AND HAPPINESS. AND THE YEAR OF THE PIG IS THE
- 7 YEAR OF PROSPERITY SO SHE'LL BRING A LOT OF PROSPERITY TO YOUR
- 8 HOME, TOO. SEE EVERYBODY OUT THERE? SEE ANY NICE PEOPLE? SEE
- 9 EVERYBODY OUT THERE? SHE SAID THERE ARE A LOT OF NICE PEOPLE,
- 10 YOU JUST GOT TO TAKE HER OVER THERE. RIGHT THERE. OH, OKAY.
- 11 FOUND A HOME AT HOME.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS THAT THAT ALL SUPERVISOR
- 14 ANTONOVICH HAS? MR. ANTONOVICH? I THINK WE'LL MOVE ON WITH THE
- 15 AGENDA BECAUSE I DON'T THINK WE HAVE ANY OTHERS HERE. DO YOU
- 16 HAVE ANY OTHER PRESENTATIONS? ANY OTHER PRESENTATIONS?
- 17 PRESENTATIONS? NO. SO SUPERVISOR BURKE? I UNDERSTAND WE WANT
- 18 TO GET A GROUP PHOTO WITH WINI BEFORE SHE LEAVES SO WHY DON'T
- 19 WE DO THAT.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR BURKE, YOU'RE UP.

- 23 SUP. BURKE: WE'D LIKE TO ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF MERILENE MURPHY,
- 24 A POET, LITERARY ACTIVIST AND PUBLISHER KNOWN THROUGHOUT LOS
- 25 ANGELES FOR HER SUPPORT OF POETRY, WHO PASSED AWAY FEBRUARY

12

14

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 2ND OF CANCER. SHE COULD BE FOUND AT THE WORLD STAGE IN
- 2 LEIMERT PARK WITH OTHER ARTISTS SHARING THEIR LOVE FOR THE
- 3 CRAFT. SHE WILL BE DEARLY MISSED. SHE LEAVES TO CHERISH HER
- 4 MEMORY A HOST OF FAMILY AND FRIENDS. LILYAN LINDAMAN, A LONG-
- 5 TIME SECOND DISTRICT-- I DON'T THINK SHE WAS A RESIDENT OF LOS
- 6 ANGELES BUT WE ALL KNOW HER DAUGHTER, BARBARA LINDAMAN, AT ONE
- 7 TIME BARBARA SHLY. HER MOTHER PASSED AWAY AT 98. HER MOTHER
- 8 HAD HAD JUST A MARVELOUS CAREER. SHE WAS AN ENTREPRENEUR AND
- 9 SHE LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY TWO DAUGHTERS, BARBARA AND
- 10 CAROL AND ONE SON, GEORGE, AND, OF COURSE, GRANDCHILDREN AND
- 11 WE CERTAINLY GIVE OUR SYMPATHY AND OUR PRAYERS FOR BARBARA.

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL MEMBERS.

15 SUP. BURKE: ALL MEMBERS. LOTTI EVANS, LONG-TIME COMPTON

- 16 RESIDENT AND SISTER OF SYBIL LOUIS BROWN, WHO PASSED AWAY ON
- 17 FEBRUARY 14TH AFTER A LENGTHY ILLNESS. SHE LEAVES TO CHERISH
- 18 HER MEMORY HER SISTER, SYBIL AND A HOST OF FAMILY AND FRIENDS.
- 19 AND ROBERT RAMSEY, DISTINGUISHED ATTORNEY AND LOVING HUSBAND
- 20 OF LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, PATTI JOE MACKAY, WHO
- 21 PASSED AWAY FEBRUARY 17TH. HE LEAVES TO CHERISH HIS MEMORY HIS
- 22 DEVOTED WIFE AND SON AND WE WILL PROVIDE ALL OF THE OTHER
- 23 DETAILS FOR THE RECORD.

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: UNANIMOUS VOTE.

1

16

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. BURKE: I WASN'T HOLDING ANYTHING, BUT I UNDERSTAND THERE-2 3 - I'LL CALL UP ITEM 17. 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE HAVE SOMEONE WHO WANTS TO BE HEARD ON ITEM 17, DR. CLAVREUL AND WE'LL DO 31 WHILE SHE'S UP 6 7 HERE, AS WELL. SHE HAS ASKED TO BE HEARD ON THAT ONE, TOO. 8 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. 17 AND 31. 9 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YES. 11 12 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. 13 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. ON ITEM 17, THE REASON I AM HOLDING 14 15 THAT ITEM IS THAT I STILL HAVE NOT RECEIVED THE ANSWER TO THE

17 CONTRACTS FOR THE LAST, SAY, FIVE YEARS. I THINK IT'S ABOUT

AUDIT I REQUESTED A LONG TIME AGO NOW AND ON OLDER I.T.

- 18 TIME THAT THE COUNTY DEVELOPED AN AUTOMATED TRACKING SYSTEM
- 19 FOR ALL I.T. CONTRACTS. THAT SHOULD BE DONE. I MEAN, THERE'S
- 20 NO SENSE THAT, IN A COUNTY OF THIS SIZE, NO COMPILATION IS
- 21 DONE DIGITALLY ON I.T. CONTRACTS AND WE ARE SPENDING MILLIONS,
- 22 MILLIONS AND MILLIONS ON IT. SO I THINK, YOU KNOW, IT WOULD BE
- 23 GOOD THAT EVENTUALLY I RECEIVE THE AUDIT AND I THINK THAT THEY
- 24 USE THE NEW TRACKING SYSTEM THROUGH ACAP TO KEEP TRACK OF THE
- 25 FINANCIAL EXPENDITURES. THAT'S ALL ON 17. ON, WHAT, 31? AS



- 1 USUAL, EVERY YEAR, WE ARE GETTING THE SAME TYPE OF BEHAVIOR
- 2 WHEN IT COMES TO RENEWAL OF THE AIDS PROGRAM. MANY OF THOSE
- 3 CONTRACTS HAVE NOT HAD ANY RFP SINCE 1997 OR WAY BEFORE THAT.
- 4 AND, EVERY YEAR, I AM PROMISED WE WILL NOT DO IT AGAIN. AND
- 5 GUESS WHAT? WE ARE DOING IT AGAIN. AND SOME OF THOSE
- 6 ORGANIZATIONS ARE NOT MEETING THEIR GOALS. I MEAN, 20 YEARS
- 7 LATER, A.P.L.A. IS NOT MEETING THEIR GOAL. WE CONTINUE TO GIVE
- 8 THEM MONEY. WHAT ARE WE GOING DO TO CORRECT THE SITUATION?
- 9 IT'S MINORITY PROJECT, DREW UNIVERSITY. I MEAN, IT'S NUMEROUS
- 10 PROGRAMS WHO ARE NOT MEETING THEIR CRITERIA. AND WE DON'T EVEN
- 11 KNOW WHAT KIND OF GOALS THEY'RE NOT MEETING, WE JUST KNOW
- 12 THEY'RE NOT MEETING THE GOALS. I MEAN, WHEN YOU HAVE
- 13 ORGANIZATIONS WHO HAVE PROVIDED SERVICE YEARS AFTER YEARS
- 14 AFTER YEARS, THEY SHOULD BE MEETING THE GOALS. SOMETHING WRONG
- 15 IN THAT PICTURE. WHY ARE WE CONTINUING TO GIVE MONEY IF THEY
- 16 ARE NOT, YOU KNOW, MEETING THEIR GOALS? THAT'S, YOU KNOW, I'M
- 17 VERY CONCERNED. I ALSO ASKED THAT A3 BE BROUGHT AND YOU REFUSE
- 18 TO BRING IT TO THE TABLE BUT I HAVE THE RIGHT TO REQUEST ON A3
- 19 IS BROUGHT AND SPOKE TO. AND ONE OF THE REASONS I DID IS
- 20 BECAUSE, DURING THE WHOLE TIME WE ARE HAVING THAT ISSUE WITH
- 21 KING DREW, AND I BROUGHT THAT ISSUE TO THE BOARD NUMEROUS
- 22 TIMES, DR. GARTHWAITE WAS NEVER LICENSED IN THE STATE OF
- 23 CALIFORNIA TO PRACTICE AS A PHYSICIAN.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.



DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: YOU HAVE NOT GIVEN ME MY TIME.

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ACTUALLY, YOUR TIME IS UP.

5

3

- 6 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: NO, YOU HAVE NOT. YOU GAVE ME-- I
- 7 SPOKE TO TWO ITEMS, YOU GAVE ME LESS THAN THREE MINUTES.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ACTUALLY, I GAVE YOU MORE THAN
- 10 THREE MINUTES. AND I'VE SEEN APPROPRIATE -- WELL, THAT'S OKAY,
- 11 YOU KNOW.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I ACTUALLY GAVE YOU MORE THAN
- 14 THREE MINUTES.

15

- 16 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: YOU KNOW, AND-- YOU KNOW, WHEN
- 17 GARTHWAITE WAS NOT A PHYSICIAN, THAT WAS OKAY. BUT NOW THE
- 18 OWNER OF THE PRESBYTERIAN HOSPITAL, WE DO NOT HAVE HIS M.D. IS
- 19 BEING PURSUED. DIFFERENT RULES FOR DIFFERENT PEOPLE.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT, WE HAVE
- 22 ITEMS 17 AND 31 BEFORE US.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM 31, THAT'S A REQUEST TO CONTINUE AT
- 25 ONE WEEK BY THE DEPARTMENT. 17 IS BEFORE YOU.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. ITEM 31 WILL BE
- 3 CONTINUED. ITEM 17, MS. BURKE MOVES, MR. ANTONOVICH SECONDS,
- 4 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

5

6 SUP. BURKE: ITEM 21.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. BAXTER? GOOD AFTERNOON, MR.
- 9 BAXTER.

- 11 PETER BAXTER: GOOD AFTERNOON, SIR. MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF
- 12 THE YOUR HONORABLE BOARD, MR. JANSSEN, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN,
- 13 MY NAME IS PETER BAXTER AND I LIVE IN LOS ANGELES. IT IS
- 14 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED THAT THIS MOTION IS MADE AT THE REQUEST
- 15 AND AUTHORITY OF THE CHIEF OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. WHEN THE
- 16 PENDING ORDINANCE CREATING A CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER IS
- 17 ADOPTED, IF IT IS ADOPTED, THERE WILL BE A SECOND COUNTY
- 18 OFFICER, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, WHO SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE
- 19 FOR ALL SUCH MOTIONS BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. THIS IS SIMILAR
- 20 TO THE OFFICE OF REGISTRAR-RECORDER, WHO IS PREPARING FOR A
- 21 PENDING PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION, EVEN THOUGH THE VERY FIRST
- 22 WORDS IN THE CONSTITUTION, AFTER THE PREAMBLE, SPECIFICALLY
- 23 STATE THAT, I'M QUOTING THE CONSTITUTION IN MEMORY ONLY, "ALL
- 24 LEGISLATIVE POWERS HEREIN GRANTED SHALL BE VESTED IN THE



- 1 CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES." ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY
- 2 SUBMITTED AND I THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, MR. BAXTER. ANYONE ELSE
- 5 WISHED TO BE HEARD? IF NOT, THE PUBLIC HEARING IS CLOSED. ITEM
- 6 21 IS BEFORE US. MS. BURKE MOVES, MR. KNABE SECONDS, WITHOUT
- 7 OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: DO YOU WANT TO DO THE SEPARATE MATTER NOW OR DO
- 10 YOU PREFER TO DO IT LATER? THE REPORT?

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHY DON'T WE DEAL WITH THOSE. WE
- 13 HAVE TWO ITEMS, THAT ONE AND THE IV-E WAIVER ITEM. WHY DON'T
- 14 WE DO THE IV-E WAIVER ITEM. MR. ANTONOVICH HAD HELD THAT AND
- 15 MR. KNABE HAD HELD THAT.

16

17 SUP. KNABE: WELL, WHATEVER'S GOING TO BE CONTINUED.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S WHAT I THOUGHT BUT I-- WHATEVER.
- 20 ANYWAY, YOU WANT TO DO THAT?

21

22 SUP. BURKE: I'LL CALL UP 20.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THIS IS ITEM 20, THE IV-E
- 2 WAIVER, DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES. MR.
- 3 ANTONOVICH.

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE HAVE A MOTION, MR. CHAIRMAN. ON MARCH
- 6 31ST, THE-- CALIFORNIA ACCEPTED THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 7 AND HUMAN SERVICES OFFER TO TEST A FLEXIBLE TITLE IV-E, FOSTER
- 8 CARE FUNDING STRATEGY, WHICH WOULD FOCUS ON PREVENTION AND
- 9 INTERVENTION INNOVATIONS TO KEEP CHILDREN SAFELY IN THEIR
- 10 HOMES. THIS PROJECT WOULD ALLOW FOR DEVELOPMENT AND EXPANSION
- 11 OF THE INNOVATIVE SERVICE DELIVERY STRATEGIES FOR FURTHER
- 12 ACCELERATION OF IMPROVED OUTCOMES FOR BOTH DEPENDENT CHILDREN
- 13 AND DELINQUENT CHILDREN AND OUR YOUNG. IN APRIL, THE COUNTY
- 14 ESTIMATED A FLEXIBLE FUNDING POOL OF \$369 MILLION IN THE FIRST
- 15 YEAR OF THE WAIVER. TODAY'S ESTIMATE OF \$73 MILLION FOR THE
- 16 ENTIRE FIVE YEARS OF THE WAIVER REPRESENTS THE FLEXIBLE
- 17 FUNDING THAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE AFTER EXPENDITURES COVERED BY
- 18 THE WAIVER ARE PAID. THIS IS APPROXIMATELY \$15 MILLION IN
- 19 FLEXIBLE FUNDING PER YEAR. AS OF TODAY, THE STATE CONTINUES TO
- 20 NEGOTIATE WITH THE FEDERAL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN
- 21 SERVICES TO REVERSE ITS DECISION REGARDING AN ADDITIONAL
- 22 DISALLOWANCE THAT WOULD HAVE REDUCED THE ESTIMATED \$73 MILLION
- 23 TO \$56 MILLION. SUBMISSION OF THE COUNTY'S CONCEPTUAL PLAN
- 24 THAT WE HAVE BEFORE US TODAY, WITHOUT KNOWING THE OUTCOME OF
- 25 THESE NEGOTIATIONS, IS NEITHER REQUIRED BY THE STATE NOR A



- 1 BENEFIT TO THE PROGRESS OF THE WAIVER BUT MAY RESULT IN THE
- 2 COUNTY'S OVERESTIMATING SERVICE PROGRAMS AS PART OF THE
- 3 WAIVER, POTENTIALLY PLACING THE COUNTY AT A GREATER RISK. AND
- 4 I'D LIKE TO ASK TRISH THESE QUESTIONS: COULD YOU EXPLAIN THE
- 5 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE APRIL 2006 ESTIMATE OF \$369 MILLION
- 6 FLEXIBLE FUNDING POOL IN THE FIRST YEAR OF THE WAIVER AND
- 7 TODAY'S ESTIMATE OF \$73 MILLION IN FLEXIBLE FUNDING FOR THE
- 8 ENTIRE FIVE-YEAR PERIOD?

9

10 TRISH PLOEHM: YES.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IDENTIFY YOURSELF, PLEASE.

- 14 TRISH PLOEHM: THIS IS TRISH PLOEHN, DEPARTMENT CHILDREN AND
- 15 FAMILY SERVICES. AND, YES, I CAN DO THAT, SUPERVISOR
- 16 ANTONOVICH. BASICALLY, THE \$369 MILLION FLEXIBLE FUNDING POOL
- 17 IN APRIL IS THE SAME \$369 FLEXIBLE FUNDING POOL WE HAVE TODAY.
- 18 THE CONFUSION HAS BEEN OVER THE FACT THAT, OUT OF THAT
- 19 FLEXIBLE FUNDING POOL, WE MUST PAY OUR BILLS. WE MUST PAY OUR
- 20 SALARIES, WE MUST PAY FOR OUR CHILDREN IN CARE. WE MUST PAY
- 21 FOR OUR CONTRACTORS. AND THAT WAS THE SAME SITUATION THAT WAS
- 22 IN APRIL AS IT IS TODAY. ONCE THOSE BILLS HAVE BEEN PAID OUT
- 23 OF THAT \$369 MILLION, THERE IS AN APPROXIMATE \$77 MILLION IN
- 24 SAVINGS, WHICH WILL BE REINVESTED INTO THE VARIETY OF SERVICES
- 25 FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: COULD YOU EXPLAIN THE FEDERAL DISALLOWANCE
- 3 THAT MAY POTENTIALLY RESULT IN AN ADDITIONAL \$17 MILLION
- 4 REDUCTION, BRINGING THE FLEXIBLE FUNDING ESTIMATE DOWN TO \$56
- 5 MILLION OVER THE FIVE YEAR PERIOD?

6

- 7 TRISH PLOEHM: YES, I CAN. THERE WAS AN AUDIT IN 2003 ON
- 8 RELATIVE ASSESSMENTS AND, AS A STATE, CALIFORNIA FAILED THAT
- 9 AUDIT. IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY THERE WERE ONLY FOUR CASES THAT
- 10 WERE STUDIED AND THERE WAS A TOTAL OF 100 TO 150 CASES
- 11 STATEWIDE. CALIFORNIA FAILED THAT AUDIT AND, AS A RESULT, THE
- 12 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS DISALLOWED APPROXIMATELY \$34 MILLION TO
- 13 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. THE STATE DETERMINED THAT THEY WOULD,
- 14 RATHER THAN JUST LOOK AT THOSE FOUR CASES, THEY WOULD
- 15 DETERMINE THE NUMBER OF CHILDREN THAT WERE IN RELATIVE CARE
- 16 BACK AT THE TIME, BACK IN 2003, AND THAT WAS THE NUMBER THAT
- 17 WAS USED TO DETERMINE WHAT OUR DISALLOWANCE WOULD BE, WHICH
- 18 EQUATES TO APPROXIMATELY \$17.2 MILLION.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT'S THE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE FEDERAL
- 21 GOVERNMENT WOULD REVERSE THE DISALLOWANCE?

- 23 TRISH PLOEHM: IT'S VERY FAVORABLE AT THIS POINT. WE HAD HAD A
- 24 CONVERSATION WITH THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE AND THE STATE A WEEK
- 25 AGO LAST FRIDAY. WE THOUGHT THAT WE WERE ABLE TO REACH



- 1 CONSENSUS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT LAST WEEK. THAT DID NOT
- 2 OCCUR. THERE ARE CONVERSATIONS GOING ON WITH THE FEDERAL
- 3 GOVERNMENT WITH THEMSELVES THIS WEEK AND WE HAVE BEEN INFORMED
- 4 BY THE STATE THAT THEY WILL GET BACK TO US BY FRIDAY AND THAT
- 5 THEY EXPECT AN AFFIRMATIVE RESPONSE.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THEN, MR. JANSSEN, AS A BOARD, AS YOU
- 8 KNOW, WE'VE BEEN WORKING TO ACCELERATE THE OUTCOME
- 9 IMPROVEMENTS, ESPECIALLY GETTING THE OLDER CHILDREN ADOPTED
- 10 AND PLACED IN FOSTER CARE. WHAT IS YOUR ASSESSMENT ON HOW WELL
- 11 THE TITLE IV-E WAIVER, AS IT STANDS TODAY, SUPPORTS THAT
- 12 COMMITMENT?

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK, SUPERVISOR, I'D DEFER TO THE DIRECTOR
- 15 ON THAT BUT THE IDEA BEHIND THE IV-E WAIVER IS TO ALLOW THE
- 16 FLEXIBILITY THAT WE NEED TO PRODUCE BETTER OUTCOMES FOR
- 17 CHILDREN. OUR FUNDING RIGHT NOW IS ON A STRAIGHTFORWARD, HOW
- 18 MANY KIDS DO YOU HAVE IN CARE? YOU GET DOLLARS. IT'S ALMOST AN
- 19 ENCOURAGEMENT TO HAVE MORE KIDS IN CARE. THIS WILL ALLOW THEM
- 20 TO MORE EFFECTIVELY DIRECT THE DOLLARS TO WHERE THEY CAN BE
- 21 SUCCESSFUL BUT, ON THAT SPECIFIC ITEM, I'D ASK TRISH TO
- 22 RESPOND.

- 24 TRISH PLOEHM: IT ALL FEEDS INTO EXACTLY WHAT WE WANT FOR
- 25 CHILDREN. THE WAIVER SAVINGS WILL BE LOOKED AT IN THREE



- 1 DIFFERENT WAYS. ONE, TO PREVENT CHILDREN FROM EVER COMING INTO
- 2 OUR SYSTEM SO WE'LL HAVE SERVICES OUT IN THE COMMUNITY SO THAT
- 3 ABUSE NEVER HAS TO OCCUR. FOR THOSE CHILDREN THAT ARE IN OUR
- 4 CUSTODY AND CARE RIGHT NOW, THESE SERVICES WILL HELP TO GET
- 5 THOSE CHILDREN EITHER BACK HOME SAFELY OR ON TO A LEGALLY
- 6 PERMANENT HOME THROUGH ADOPTION AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. AND
- 7 ALL OF THESE SAVINGS WILL BE REINVESTED INTO SERVICES TO
- 8 SUPPORT THOSE GOALS.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THE MOTION, BASICALLY, MR. CHAIRMAN, IS TO
- 11 PREPARE A FIVE-SIGNATURE LETTER TO THE FEDERAL DEPARTMENT OF
- 12 HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES TO SUPPORT THE STATE'S POSITION
- 13 REGARDING THE FEDERAL DISALLOWANCE AND TO DIRECT THE COUNTY
- 14 ADVOCATES TO PURSUE THIS POSITION AND, THREE, TO PLACE THE
- 15 FINALIZED MOU BETWEEN THE STATE AND THE COUNTY IN THE IV-E
- 16 WAIVER CONCEPTUAL PLAN ON A FUTURE BOARD AGENDA NO LATER THAN
- 17 MARCH 27TH. AND THE FOURTH POINT WOULD BE TO TAKE THE
- 18 NECESSARY STEPS TO GUARANTEE THE COUNTY'S IMPLEMENTATION OF
- 19 TITLE 4 WAIVER CAPPED ALLOWANCE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT BY JULY
- 20 1ST OF THIS YEAR AND REPORT BACK ON A MONTHLY BASIS REGARDING
- 21 PROGRESS ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE COUNTY'S IV-E WAIVER
- 22 IMPLEMENTATION.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. CAN I-- MR. KNABE, YOU ALSO
- 25 HAD-- GO AHEAD.



1

2 SUP. KNABE: I JUST, IT APPEARS THAT THE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS

3 FOR DCFS AND PROBATION ARE GROWING AT A MORE RAPID PACE THAN

4 THE 2% ALLOWED. IS THAT A PART OF OUR PROBLEM AS FAR AS THE

5 REINVESTIBLE PART OF THE SAVINGS?

6

7 TRISH PLOEHM: IT IS. AT THE TIME BACK IN APRIL WHEN WE WERE

8 DETERMINING THE NUMBERS, WE WEREN'T AWARE OF WHAT THE

9 ADMINISTRATIVE INCREASES WERE GOING TO BE. SO IT DOES PLAY

10 INTO THE AMOUNT OF SAVINGS BUT IT'S A MINOR COMPONENT TO THAT.

11

12 SUP. KNABE: I MEAN, DOES IT EVER CROSS PATHS TO WHERE THIS

13 COULD COST US ADDITIONAL COUNTY GENERAL FUNDS VERSUS WHAT

14 WE'RE GETTING BACK IN WAIVERS?

15

16 TRISH PLOEHM: I DON'T BELIEVE SO. THAT'S WHY WE HAVE BEEN AND

17 CONTINUE TO WORK WITH THE MOU WITH THE STATE SO THAT WE BUILD

18 IN VERY SPECIFIED LANGUAGE AND THE STATE HAS ASSURED US

19 VERBALLY AND WE'VE ASKED THEM TO PUT IN WRITING AS PART OF OUR

20 MOU THAT THERE ARE SAFEGUARDS THAT WILL MITIGATE ANY RISKS TO

21 THE COUNTY. THERE'S ALSO AN OPT-OUT POLICY SHOULD A CRISES

22 OCCUR, SOME NATURAL DISASTER THAT WOULD CHANGE THE WAY WE HAVE

23 TO DO CHILD WELFARE SERVICES. WE DO HAVE AN ABILITY TO OPT OUT

24 OF THIS WAIVER.



1 SUP. KNABE: OKAY. THANK YOU.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: CAN I ASK YOU, WHEN THIS FIRST
- 4 CAME UP A COUPLE, THREE WEEKS AGO, YOU WERE CONCERNED ABOUT
- 5 WHETHER WE WOULD BE ABLE TO OPERATE WITH THIS WAIVER, OPERATE
- 6 IT IN THE BLACK, SO MUCH SO THAT I THINK YOU ACTUALLY ASKED
- 7 THAT THEY OUGHT TO BE POSTPONED, TAKEN OFF CALENDAR, REFERRED
- 8 BACK TO YOUR DEPARTMENT SO THAT WE COULD HAVE MORE TIME TO TRY
- 9 FIGURE OUT-- WELL, ACTUALLY, TO TRY TO CHANGE SOME MINDS IN
- 10 SACRAMENTO AND WASHINGTON HAS YOUR VIEW OF THIS CHANGED? I
- 11 MEAN, YOU JUST ANSWERED MR. KNABE'S QUESTION ON WHETHER WE RUN
- 12 THE RISK OF HAVING TO ADD MORE MONEY OUT OF THE GENERAL FUND
- 13 BECAUSE WE'D OVERSPEND THE SAVINGS AND YOU SAID YOU DIDN'T
- 14 THINK THE LIKELIHOOD WAS ALL THAT GREAT. HAVE YOU CHANGED YOUR
- 15 VIEW OF THIS SINCE THREE OR SO WEEKS AGO?

- 17 TRISH PLOEHM: NO, I DON'T THINK THAT I EVER FELT THAT WE WERE
- 18 GOING TO BE OPERATING IN THE RED. IT WAS THAT IT WASN'T AS
- 19 ADVANTAGEOUS AS WE HAD HOPED WHEN WE WERE LOOKING AT AN
- 20 ADDITIONAL \$17.2 MILLION REDUCTION. 55 MILLION, 56 MILLION IS
- 21 STILL AN ACCEPTABLE AMOUNT OF MONEY, AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED,
- 22 TO MOVE IN THIS DIRECTION. WITHOUT THIS WAIVER, WE CONTINUE TO
- 23 JUST BE INCENTIVIZED AND REWARDED FOR KEEPING CHILDREN IN
- 24 CARE. SO, EVEN THOUGH THE AMOUNT OF THE SAVINGS THAT CAN BE
- 25 REINVESTED IS NOT AS ADVANTAGEOUS AS WE HAD HOPED, EVEN IF WE



1 HAVE TO TAKE THE DISALLOWANCE, IT IS STILL THE RIGHT THING TO

- 2 DO. IT IS STILL SENDING A MESSAGE THAT WE ARE GOING TO DO
- 3 CHILD WELFARE SERVICES DIFFERENTLY IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND
- 4 THAT WE ARE GOING TO DO WHATEVER IT IS THAT WE NEED TO DO TO
- 5 MOVE CHILDREN OUT OF CARE AND EITHER KEEP THEM AT HOME SAFELY
- 6 OR MOVE THEM INTO PERMANENT HOMES.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: JUST TO BE REAL CLEAR THAT THERE
- 9 WERE TWO REASONS WE PURSUED THE WAIVER. ONE WAS TO CHANGE THE
- 10 WAY WE DO BUSINESS AS FAR AS THE TREATMENT OF KIDS AND THE
- 11 OTHER INCENTIVE FOR US WAS THAT IT WAS GOING TO SAVE US SOME
- 12 MONEY AND GIVE US THE FLEXIBILITY TO SPEND MONEY IN DIFFERENT
- 13 WAYS. AND, IF ONE OF THOSE TWO WERE NOT A FACTOR, WERE NOT A
- 14 REALITY, I THINK THERE MIGHT HAVE BEEN A DIFFERENT KIND OF
- 15 DISCUSSION ABOUT THE IV-E WAIVER. I WANT TO JUST BE SURE, FROM
- 16 YOUR POINT OF VIEW, FROM YOUR DEPARTMENT'S POINT OF VIEW, THAT
- 17 YOU BELIEVE, TODAY, AS YOU SIT HERE, WITH OR WITHOUT THE \$17.5
- 18 MILLION DISALLOWANCE, THAT THE RISKS THAT WE WILL OVERSPEND
- 19 THE POOL THAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE TO US ARE SLIM TO NONE. IS
- 20 THAT A FAIR CHARACTERIZATION OR IS THE RISK HIGHER THAN THAT?

- 22 TRISH PLOEHM: NO, THAT IS A VERY FAIR CHARACTERIZATION. WE
- 23 WILL BE WATCHING OUR MONEY. WE WILL BE WATCHING OUR BUDGET AND
- 24 WE WILL STAY WITHIN THIS BUDGET, BE IT 56 MILLION, 72 MILLION
- 25 OR, IF, IN THE FUTURE, IT GROWS TO A LARGE AMOUNT. THAT MONEY



- 1 IS GOING TO BE USED TO REINVEST IN ADDITIONAL SERVICES, NEW
- 2 AND DIFFERENT SERVICES. WE WILL NOT OVERSPEND THAT MONEY. WE
- 3 WILL STAY WITHIN THAT BUDGET AND WE FULLY EXPECT THAT, AS WE
- 4 REINVEST THESE SAVINGS AND AS WE DEVELOP THESE COMMUNITY-
- 5 BASED SERVICES, WE WILL, INDEED, BE ABLE TO MOVE MORE CHILDREN
- 6 OUT OF FOSTER CARE, INCREASING OUR SAVINGS AND REINVESTMENT
- 7 POTENTIAL.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND COULD YOU JUST GIVE US SOME
- 10 EXAMPLES OF WHERE THE SAVINGS ARE GOING TO BE GENERATED FROM?
- 11 WHAT ARE SOME OF THE-- WHERE ARE YOU GOING TO GET SOME OF
- 12 THESE \$55 TO \$75 MILLION WORTH OF SAVINGS EVERY YEAR?

- 14 TRISH PLOEHM: BASICALLY, IT'S FROM MORE CHILDREN LEAVING CARE.
- 15 THAT'S THE BOTTOM LINE. AS MORE CHILDREN LEAVE CARE, WE WILL
- 16 BE STILL RECEIVING THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT HAS BEEN THE
- 17 FORMULA THAT HAS BEEN PROVIDED TO US, WHICH WAS BASED ON A
- 18 THREE-YEAR AVERAGE OF CHILDREN THAT WERE IN CARE, FROM 2003 TO
- 19 THE PRESENT. SO WE'RE STARTING OUT WITH MORE MONEY THAN WHAT
- 20 IT REQUIRES US TODAY TO PAY FOR CHILDREN IN CARE BECAUSE THERE
- 21 ARE, INDEED, LESS CHILDREN IN CARE. AS WE'RE ABLE TO TAKE
- 22 THESE REINVESTMENT SAVINGS AND CREATE MORE STRATEGIES AND MORE
- 23 PROGRAMS, WE FULLY EXPECT THAT MORE CHILDREN WILL MOVE HOME OR
- 24 ONTO ADOPTIVE HOMES AND OUT OF FOSTER CARE AND THAT, INDEED--
- 25 BUT THAT MONEY WILL STILL CONTINUE TO COME TO US AND THAT



- 1 MONEY THEN WILL BE TURNED OVER TO REINVEST IN NEW AND
- 2 ADDITIONAL SERVICES TO MOVE MORE CHILDREN SAFELY OUT OF CARE.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THE LAST QUESTION I HAVE
- 5 IS...

6

7 SUP. BURKE: WOULD YOU PUT ME ON THE LIST?

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH, YOU'RE NEXT. THERE'S NOBODY
- 10 ELSE. THIS IS MY LAST QUESTION, HONEST. I'M CONFUSED BECAUSE I
- 11 WAS-- I THOUGHT THERE WAS A FEELING, AND I DON'T WANT TO GET
- 12 TOO MUCH INTO THIS BUT I-- YOU THINK THAT THERE'S STILL A SHOT
- 13 THAT WE'RE GOING TO GET THE 17 MILLION. MR. JANSSEN HAD A--
- 14 MAYBE IT WAS JUST HIS INSTINCTS, I DON'T KNOW, A DIAMETRICALLY
- 15 OPPOSITE POINT OF VIEW ON THAT AND I'M JUST WONDERING WHICH IS
- 16 IT?

- 18 TRISH PLOEHM: ACTUALLY, WE'RE NOT SURE. WE ARE GETTING
- 19 INFORMATION FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. WE'RE GETTING
- 20 INFORMATION FROM THE STATE GOVERNMENT. IT HAS BEEN SOMEWHAT OF
- 21 A ROLLERCOASTER. LAST WEEK, WHEN I CAME IN AND SPOKE WITH YOU,
- 22 I WAS VERY ENCOURAGED AND I BELIEVED THAT, BY THE END OF THAT
- 23 WEEK, WE WOULD HAVE HAD AN AFFIRMATIVE RESPONSE. ON THURSDAY,
- 24 THE STATE AND THE FEDS HAD A CONFERENCE CALL. FRIDAY MORNING,
- 25 THE STATE INFORMED US THAT THEY HAD NOT-- STILL HAD BEEN NOT



- 1 ABLE TO GET AN AFFIRMATIVE RESPONSE FROM THE FEDERAL
- 2 GOVERNMENT. I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE ARE DIFFERENCES OF OPINION
- 3 WITHIN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND THAT THOSE ARE BEING
- 4 DISCUSSED AMONGST THEMSELVES THIS WEEK AND WE ARE HOPEFUL THAT
- 5 THEY WILL BE ABLE TO RESOLVE THOSE ISSUES AND GET BACK TO US.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO YOU HAVEN'T HEARD ANYTHING
- 8 THROUGH ANY OF YOUR SOURCES THAT SUGGESTS THAT THE DECISION
- 9 HAD BEEN MADE NOT TO FUND-- OR, I'M SORRY, TO ASSESS THAT THE
- 10 DECISION HAD BEEN MADE TO ASSESS THE \$17 MILLION IN PENALTIES?
- 11 YOU HAVEN'T HEARD THAT?

12

13 TRISH PLOEHM: NO, THAT DECISION WAS MADE A LONG TIME AGO.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I UNDERSTAND BUT, I MEAN, IN THE
- 16 LAST 72 HOURS, SINCE FRIDAY?

17

- 18 TRISH PLOEHM: NO. THAT-- AT THAT POINT IN TIME, WHAT I'VE
- 19 HEARD IS THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAD NOT STEPPED BACK FROM
- 20 THEIR ORIGINAL DECISION BUT I ALSO HEARD THAT THE STATE WAS
- 21 ENCOURAGED AND BELIEVED THAT THERE WAS A GOOD CHANCE THAT THE
- 22 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WOULD, INDEED, BE ABLE TO RESOLVE THEIR OWN
- 23 CONCERNS AND GET BACK WITH US.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO, MR. JANSSEN?



1

- 2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK WHAT SHE JUST SAID IS THE ORIGINAL
- 3 DECISION TO ASSESS, THEY HAD NOT CHANGED THEIR MIND, THEY
- 4 STILL PLANNED TO ASSESS THE PENALTY AS OF FRIDAY AND THE STATE
- 5 IS HOPEFUL TO CHANGE THEIR MIND.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: RIGHT BUT THAT WE'VE KNOWN FROM
- 8 DAY ONE. I MEAN, THEY MADE THE...

9

- 10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. WELL, WE THOUGHT WE WERE IN A BETTER
- 11 POSITION A WEEK AGO THAN WE THOUGHT WE WERE AS OF FRIDAY. I
- 12 THINK THAT'S ABOUT ALL WE CAN SAY.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS THAT YOUR VIEW, ALSO?

15

- 16 TRISH PLOEHM: THAT'S CORRECT. WE EXPECTED THAT WE WERE GOING
- 17 TO HEAR ON THURSDAY THAT THIS WAS A RESOLVED ISSUE AND THAT
- 18 THE 17.2 WOULD NOT BE PASSED ON TO US. WE DIDN'T HEAR THAT.
- 19 AND FRIDAY AFTERNOON, WE HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH THE STATE THAT
- 20 SAID THAT BELIEVED THAT THE -- THOUGH THEY COULDN'T REACH
- 21 RESOLUTION ON FRIDAY WITH THE FEDS, THAT THE FEDS WERE TAKING
- 22 IT IN HOUSE THAT THEY WOULD GET BACK TO US-- THE STATE WOULD
- 23 GET BACK TO US ON FRIDAY AFTER THE FEDS GET BACK TO THEM THIS
- 24 WEEK.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THERE SEEMS TO BE DIFFERENT
- 2 INTERPRETATIONS OF-- I GUESS WE'RE ALL TRYING TO READ THE TEA
- 3 LEAVES. OKAY. SO, FOR THE TIME BEING, WE HAVE TO ASSUME THAT
- 4 WE'RE GOING TO BE ASSESSED AND, UNTIL THEY CHANGE THEIR MINDS-
- 5 SO IS THAT 17 MILLION PER YEAR, THE ASSESSMENT? IT'S ONE
- 6 TIME?

7

9

11

15

8 TRISH PLOEHM: NO, IT'S 17 MILLION OVER THE FIVE-YEAR PERIOD.

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OVER THE FIVE-YEAR PERIOD?

- 12 TRISH PLOEHM: IT RUNS-- IT WILL BRING US FROM 73.8 TO 56.6
- 13 MILLION OVER THE FIVE-YEAR PERIOD, WHICH IS ABOUT 15 MILLION
- 14 TO 11 MILLION PER YEAR REDUCTION.
- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 15 TO 11-- YOU MEAN, 75 MILLION IS
- 17 NOT 11 TO 15 MILLION A YEAR FOR FIVE YEARS, IS IT? 17 MILLION
- 18 IS-- 11 TO 15 MILLION A YEAR WOULD BE 55 TO 85 MILLION
- 19 DOLLARS.
- 21 TRISH PLOEHM: I'M SORRY.
- 23 SUP. BURKE: YOU TOOK THE 17 FROM THE 77, RIGHT?

24

20



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: LET HER JUST FIGURE-- SHE
- 2 MISUNDERSTOOD MY QUESTION.

3

- 4 TRISH PLOEHM: THE FORMULA, ACTUALLY, ALLOWS FOR MORE MONEY TO
- 5 BE SPENT IN THE FIRST FEW YEARS AND LESS MONEY IN THE LATTER
- 6 YEARS BUT, JUST TO TRY TO MAKE IT CLEAR, I WAS JUST MAKING A
- 7 GENERAL AVERAGE OF A CERTAIN AMOUNT PER YEAR, 15 MILLION PER
- 8 YEAR OF SAVINGS.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO THE 75-- WHAT WAS IT, \$77
- 11 MILLION-- \$76 MILLION OF SAVINGS IS A FIVE-YEAR FIGURE, NOT AN
- 12 ANNUAL FIGURE?

13

14 TRISH PLOEHM: THAT'S CORRECT.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THE 17 MILLION IS A FIVE-YEAR
- 17 FIGURE?

18

19 TRISH PLOEHM: CORRECT.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO THAT, IF WE DO GET ASSESSED,
- 22 YOU SUBTRACT 17 FROM 76, CORRECT?

23

24 TRISH PLOEHM: CORRECT.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THAT'S WHAT WE WILL HAVE...
2

3 TRISH PLOEHM: THAT COMES TO 56.6.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: \$57 MILLION OVER THE FIVE YEARS.

6

7 TRISH PLOEHM: CORRECT.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHICH WOULD, IF YOU AVERAGED IT
- 10 OUT, I KNOW THAT YOU'RE NOT AVERAGING IT OUT BUT IF YOU
- 11 AVERAGED IT OUT, THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING ON THE ORDER OF \$11
- 12 MILLION A YEAR?

13

14 TRISH PLOEHM: THAT'S CORRECT.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. MS. BURKE.

- 18 SUP. BURKE: I JUST WANT TO GET CLEAR. MY UNDERSTANDING
- 19 INITIALLY WAS THAT THIS WAS TO GIVE FLEXIBILITY AND THE REASON
- 20 WHY YOU WANTED FLEXIBILITY WAS IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO MOVE
- 21 CHILDREN NOT ONLY TO PREVENT THEM FROM COMING INTO THE SYSTEM
- 22 BUT ALSO MOVE THEM FASTER INTO PERMANENT SITUATIONS. HAVE WE
- 23 HAD A CHANCE AT ALL TO REVIEW OR TO MAKE ANY EVALUATIONS SO
- 24 FAR IN TERMS OF THE OUTCOMES? FOR INSTANCE, WITH THE COMPTON
- 25 PROJECT IS ONE PLACE WHERE, EARLY ON, WE STARTED THE WHOLE



- 1 APPROACH OF BRINGING THE FAMILIES TOGETHER AND TRYING TO MOVE
- 2 IT TO A SITUATION OF WHERE YOU WOULDN'T NECESSARILY MOVE
- 3 CHILDREN INTO THE FOSTER SYSTEM.

4

- 5 TRISH PLOEHM: I DON'T HAVE THOSE NUMBERS RIGHT IN FRONT OF ME
- 6 AND KEEP IN MIND THAT ALL OF THOSE POSITIVE OUTCOMES THAT
- 7 WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO ACHIEVE WITH THE COMPTON PROJECT AND WITH A
- 8 NUMBER OF PROJECTS ACROSS THE BOARD WERE DONE WITHOUT HAVING
- 9 THE FLEXIBILITY OF THE FUNDS, IT WAS EXISTING FUNDS. BUT WE
- 10 HAVE REDUCED THE NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN CARE. IT HAS GONE FROM
- 11 A HIGH OF ABOUT ALMOST 50,000 CHILDREN IN CARE IN THE MID '90S
- 12 DOWN TO-- END OF DECEMBER WAS 20,454 CHILDREN IN CARE. SO THAT
- 13 WAS QUITE SIGNIFICANT. IN THE PAST FOUR YEARS, WE'VE REDUCED
- 14 IT FROM APPROXIMATELY 28,000 CHILDREN IN CARE DOWN TO THAT
- 15 20,000 CHILDREN IN CARE. AND NOW WITH THE-- WE HAVE BASICALLY,
- 16 I BELIEVE, WITH THE EXISTING FUNDING STREAMS THE WAY THEY ARE,
- 17 BEEN ABLE TO REDUCE THE NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN CARE
- 18 SIGNIFICANTLY AND THE CHILDREN THAT ARE STILL IN CARE NEED
- 19 ADDITIONAL SERVICES. THEY NEED ADDITIONAL SUPPORTS AND WE
- 20 DON'T HAVE THE FUNDING, UNDER THE CURRENT STRUCTURE, TO PAY
- 21 FOR THE EXTRA AND ADDITIONAL. THAT'S WHAT THE WAIVER IS GOING
- 22 TO ALLOW US TO DO.

- 24 SUP. BURKE: WE'RE DOWN TO THE REALLY DIFFICULT CHILDREN TO
- 25 PLACE?



1

- 2 TRISH PLOEHM: HIGH-RISK CHILDREN, CHILDREN THAT NEED EXTENSIVE
- 3 SERVICES, DUAL-DIAGNOSED CHILDREN, YES, MENTAL HEALTH
- 4 SERVICES, A WHOLE CADRE OF SERVICES WHICH AREN'T THERE NOW AND
- 5 WE DON'T HAVE THE MONEY OR THE LEGAL ABILITY TO PAY FOR THOSE
- 6 SERVICES WITHOUT THE WAIVER.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE: THIS SEEMS TO BE HAVING A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON
- 9 OUR YOUTH HOMES, YOU KNOW, LIKE CHILD NET, OPTIMUS HOME AND
- 10 THOSE KINDS OF PROGRAMS. BY US TRYING TO REORGANIZE OR DO WHAT
- 11 WE NEED TO DO, ARE WE SORT OF PUSHING THOSE HIGH-NEED KIDS
- 12 OUT? I MEAN, THEY'RE GOING TO REQUIRE AFTER-CARE SERVICES AND
- 13 ARE WE GOING TO BE ABLE TO PAY FOR THAT? I MEAN, OBVIOUSLY,
- 14 THESE YOUTH HOMES CANNOT AFFORD TO DO THAT WITHOUT SOME
- 15 ADDITIONAL RESOURCES. I MEAN, THAT'S THE FEEDBACK THAT WE'RE
- 16 GETTING.

- 18 TRISH PLOEHM: WE'VE BEEN WORKING-- ACTUALLY OVER THE TWO TO
- 19 THREE YEAR PERIOD, WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH COMMUNITY PARTNERS,
- 20 INCLUDING OUR RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITIES AND THEY ARE
- 21 REALLY-- I WOULD SAY, THREE YEARS AGO, THEY REALLY WERE NOT ON
- 22 BOARD WITH WHERE WE WERE GOING, THAT WE WANTED TO REDUCE
- 23 CHILDREN IN CARE, THAT WE WANTED TO PUT CHILDREN IN A MORE
- 24 HOME-LIKE SETTING. BUT THEY TRULY HAVE COME AND MET US AT THE
- 25 TABLE AND THEY ARE MAKING CHANGES WITHIN THEIR OWN



- 1 ORGANIZATIONS TO SAY WE DON'T WANT TO JUST BE TEMPORARY
- 2 CAREGIVERS FOR THESE CHILDREN. WE WANT TO BE INVOLVED IN
- 3 REUNIFYING THEM WITH THEIR FAMILIES. WE WANT TO BE INVOLVED
- 4 WITH WORKING WITH THE FAMILIES AND ASSISTING THEM AND WE WANT
- 5 TO BE INVOLVED FOR THOSE CHILDREN THAT ARE IN OUR HOMES THAT,
- 6 ONCE THEY GO HOME, THAT WE CAN FOLLOW THEM AND PROVIDE THOSE
- 7 SUPPORTIVE SERVICES. SO THAT'S WHY THERE ARE EIGHT MAJOR
- 8 PRIORITY AREAS UNDER THE WAIVER THAT WE PLAN TO UTILIZE WITH
- 9 WHATEVER EXISTING FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE TO FUND AND AFTER-CARE
- 10 SERVICES IS ONE OF THEM.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, BECAUSE, I MEAN, WE'RE GETTING SOME
- 13 FEEDBACK, TOO, THAT SOME ARE EVEN THINKING ABOUT GETTING OUT
- 14 OF THE BUSINESS, WHICH WOULD BE DRAMATIC.

15

- 16 TRISH PLOEHM: SOME OF THEM ARE AND SOME OF THEM ARE SIMPLY
- 17 CHANGING THE WAY THEY'RE DOING BUSINESS. INSTEAD OF BEING JUST
- 18 CAREGIVERS, THEY'RE LOOKING AT THE WRAPAROUND OR THE AFTERCARE
- 19 OR REUNIFICATION SERVICES SO THEY ARE, ALONG WITH US, THEY ARE
- 20 CHANGING AND ON BOARD WITH CHANGING THE WAY WE PROVIDE CHILD
- 21 WELFARE SERVICES.

22

23 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: I'M JUST DELIGHTED TO HEAR THAT. MY MEETINGS WITH
- 2 THEM WAS NOT CONSISTENT WITH THAT AND THE STATEMENTS THAT I
- 3 RECEIVED WAS THAT THEY WERE NOT INTERESTED IN PROVIDING
- 4 SERVICES AFTER THE CHILDREN LEFT THEIR CARE. AND I REALIZE
- 5 THAT MANY OF THEM HAVE INVESTED TREMENDOUS AMOUNT IN CAPITAL
- 6 AND IT'S A BUSINESS BUT WE HAVE TO WEIGH THAT AGAINST WHAT'S
- 7 BEST FOR CHILDREN.

8

- 9 TRISH PLOEHM: AND I THINK THEY ARE NOW VERY MUCH OPEN TO DOING
- 10 BUSINESS IN A DIFFERENT MANNER.

11

12 **SUP. BURKE:** A DIFFERENT MANNER.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE: BUT THE PROBLEM IS, SOME OF THOSE YOUTH HOMES ARE
- 15 DOING IT ON THEIR OWN WITHOUT ANY ASSISTANCE FROM THE COUNTY.
- 16 THEY'RE TAKING IT OUT OF THEIR OWN POCKET. THERE'S
- 17 TRANSITIONAL DAY TREATMENT PROGRAMS, SOME OF THESE, AND THAT'S
- 18 BEEN THE REAL SIGNIFICANT DRAIN ON THESE PROGRAMS AND, YOU
- 19 KNOW, IT'S GOING TO FORCE SOME OF THEM OUT OF BUSINESS DOING
- 20 THAT KIND OF WORK AND IT'S GOING TO HAVE A DRAMATIC IMPACT, I
- 21 BELIEVE, ON THE COUNTY.

- 23 TRISH PLOEHM: JUST LIKE US, AS I SAID A MOMENT AGO, WE'VE GOT
- 24 TO THE POINT WHERE WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO FUND THESE SERVICES
- 25 DIFFERENTLY. AND I KNOW THAT THE GROUP HOMES AND THE



- 1 RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITIES DO, TOO. THEY CANNOT CONTINUE
- 2 TO DO BUSINESS UNDER THE CURRENT FUNDING STRUCTURE AND DO ALL
- 3 THESE NEW AND INNOVATIVE STRATEGIES. SO THAT'S WHY WE'RE
- 4 WORKING WITH THEM. ALL OF THIS MONEY IS REINVESTABLE SAVINGS
- 5 THAT WE WILL BE SHARING WITH ALL OF OUR PARTNERS TO MAKE SURE
- 6 OUR CHILDREN'S NEEDS ARE MET.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO-- IF SOME
- 9 OF THEM, AND I SUSPECT SOME OF THEM WILL HAVE TO CLOSE. THE
- 10 NUMBERS OF CHILDREN THAT WE HAVE IN CARE HAS DROPPED SO
- 11 DRAMATICALLY THAT IT DOESN'T MAKE LOGICAL SENSE THAT THE SAME
- 12 INFRASTRUCTURE THAT DEALT WITH 58,000 KIDS IS NEEDED TO DEAL
- 13 WITH 28,000 KIDS AND SO THERE OUGHT TO BE SOME SHAKEOUT OF...

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, BUT THERE'S NOT REALLY NUMBERS AS MUCH AS IT
- 16 IS RESOURCES, ISN'T THAT CORRECT? IT'S NOT SO MUCH THE CHILD
- 17 COUNT AS IT IS THE RESOURCES TO DO THESE EXPENSIVE --
- 18 PARTICULARLY FOR THOSE NEEDY KIDS.

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, IF YOU DON'T HOUSE THE KIDS
- 21 IN GROUP HOMES OR IN-- IN GROUP HOMES TO THE SAME EXTENT, THEN
- 22 YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE, YOU KNOW, LESS KIDS IN THE GROUP HOMES,
- 23 MORE VACANCIES IN THE GROUP HOMES. AT SOME POINT, IT BECOMES
- 24 UNECONOMICAL FOR THE HOME TO STAY ECONOMICALLY AFLOAT. AND,



- 1 OUR FIRST-- MS. BURKE SAID IT, OUR FIRST PRIORITY IS TO THE
- 2 KIDS AND IT WOULD BE A TRAVESTY-- WELL...

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE: I'M NOT ADVOCATING IT. I MEAN, THAT'S NOT THE
- 5 ISSUE.

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I JUST CAUGHT MYSELF. I DIDN'T
- 8 SUGGESTING THAT YOU WERE. I JUST-- I WASN'T SUGGESTING YOU
- 9 WERE. I JUST THINK THAT THIS IS ONE OF THE CRITICISMS THAT,
- 10 HISTORICALLY, SINCE I'VE BEEN HERE HAS BEEN MADE ABOUT THE
- 11 COUNTY IN THE EARLIER YEARS, IN THE PRE-DR. SANDERS YEARS, IS
- 12 THAT, IN FACT, WE HAD SOME WHO CAME TO THIS BOARD AND MADE
- 13 THESE COMMENTS THAT WE WERE MORE INTERESTED IN KEEPING THE
- 14 INDUSTRY ALIVE THAN THE KIDS. AND I DON'T THINK THAT WAS THE
- 15 CASE BUT I THINK IT MAY HAVE BEEN PERCEIVED THAT WAY BY PEOPLE
- 16 WHO WERE WATCHING. I THINK WE'VE DEMONSTRATED THAT THAT WAS
- 17 NOT THE CASE IN LIGHT OF WHAT WE'VE DONE IN THE LAST FOUR
- 18 YEARS. BUT IT'S GOING TO GET MORE-- WITH THIS PROGRAM, WITH
- 19 THIS WAIVER, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE MORE OF AN OPPORTUNITY TO
- 20 CONSOLIDATE AND DO THINGS, AS YOU SAY, DIFFERENTLY. BUT,
- 21 ANYWAY, I-- I DON'T-- I'M NOT-- I'M NOT SYMPATHETIC TO THOSE
- 22 SITUATIONS. IF WE CAN PROVIDE BETTER SERVICE FOR THE KIDS WHO
- 23 COME THROUGH OUR SYSTEM IN A WAY THAT HAS AN ADVERSE IMPACT ON
- 24 SOME OF THESE BUSINESSES, SO BE IT. I MEAN, IF THE END RESULT
- 25 IS THAT WE HAVE BETTER OUTCOMES FOR KIDS, THEN I THINK ALL



- 1 FIVE OF US ARE COMMITTED TO THAT. THE SMART ONES OR THE ONES
- 2 THAT ARE AHEAD OF THE CURVE WILL TRY TO REORIENT TO THE NEW
- 3 PARADIGM THAT YOU'RE TRYING TO ESTABLISH AND THAT WE'RE TRYING
- 4 TO ESTABLISH WITH THE IV-E WAIVER AND THE OTHER THINGS THAT
- 5 HAVE BEEN DONE. ALL RIGHT, ANYWAY...

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: BUT THEY'LL STILL NEED DOLLARS FOR THE TRANSITION,
- 8 TO TRANSITION THESE KIDS OUT, I THINK. IT'S NOT SO MUCH THE
- 9 VACANCY ISSUE. AND I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, ZEV, BUT I
- 10 MEAN, IT'S, YOU KNOW, STILL GOING TO HAVE TO PROVIDE THESE
- 11 SERVICES SO IT DOES BENEFIT THE KIDS AND, YOU KNOW, THOSE THAT
- 12 ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR TRANSITIONING KIDS OUT INTO PERMANENCY
- 13 ALSO, I MEAN, THAT'S BEEN AN ONGOING ISSUE, AS WELL, TOO.
- 14 THEY'RE ALREADY REDUCING THE NUMBER OF BEDS. I MEAN, WE KNOW
- 15 THAT SO...

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BUT I GATHER THAT'S ONE OF THE
- 18 THINGS YOU THINK YOU CAN SAVE MONEY ON IS BY PROVIDING THE
- 19 SERVICES THAT ARE REQUIRED IN A DIFFERENT KIND OF WAY THAN
- 20 WE'VE BEEN DOING IT UP UNTIL NOW WITHOUT AS HEAVY AN
- 21 INFRASTRUCTURE BURDEN, IS THAT CORRECT?

22

23 TRISH PLOEHM: THAT'S EXACTLY CORRECT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH. WITHOUT THE PHYSICAL
- 2 INFRASTRUCTURE BURDEN?

3

4 TRISH PLOEHM: RIGHT.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THAT'S THE TEST OF THIS
- 7 WAIVER. I MEAN, THAT'S-- AND THERE IS A RISK. I THINK THE RISK
- 8 IS MORE THAN SLIM TO NONE. I THINK YOU NEED TO BE HONEST WITH
- 9 YOURSELF ABOUT IT BECAUSE I THINK YOU NEED-- WE ALL NEED TO
- 10 RECOGNIZE THAT THIS THING COULD-- IT'S A RISK. WAIVERS ARE
- 11 RISKS, JUST AS THE HEALTH WAIVER WAS. THIS IS GOING TO BE ONE,
- 12 TOO. WE COULD FIND OURSELVES HAVING MISCALCULATED. SO IT'S GOT
- 13 TO BE MONITORED VERY CLOSELY AS YOU GO ALONG. ANYWAY, I
- 14 APPRECIATE IT. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? WE HAVE A
- 15 MOTION, AT THIS POINT, BY MR. ANTONOVICH.

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO MOVED.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'LL SECOND IT. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 20 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

21

22 TRISH PLOEHM: THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



```
SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU, TRISH.
2
3
    SUP. KNABE: IT BASICALLY IS A REPORT BACK, RIGHT, WITH THE
    PLAN SOMETIME IN MARCH?
4
5
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YES, MR. ANTONOVICH, I THINK THE
6
7
   MOTION SAID MARCH 27TH OR SOONER.
8
   SUP. KNABE: OKAY.
10
11
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: COULD BE BEFORE.
12
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH. ANYTHING, MS. BURKE?
13
14
15
    SUP. BURKE: I'LL CALL UP THE REPORT, NUMBER 89.
16
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NUMBER 89, OKAY. MR. TAYLOR? IS
17
18
    BOB TAYLOR HERE? LET'S GET HIM OUT HERE. WE'RE ON HIS ITEM.
19
    GOOD AFTERNOON, BOB.
20
   BOB TAYLOR: GOOD AFTERNOON, SIR.
21
22
23
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YOU'RE ON.
```



- 1 BOB TAYLOR: THANK YOU. BOB TAYLOR FROM THE PROBATION
- 2 DEPARTMENT. ON JANUARY 16, 2007, THE BOARD ASKED THE CHIEF
- 3 PROBATION OFFICER TO INVESTIGATE AND ASSESS THE GOVERNOR'S
- 4 PROPOSED STATE INITIATIVE WHICH SEEKS TO SHIFT A SUBSTANTIAL
- 5 NUMBER OF WARDS PRESENTLY HOUSED IN STATE JUVENILE FACILITIES
- 6 TO COUNTY CUSTODY AS WELL AS ANALYZE ITS IMPACT ON COUNTY
- 7 RESOURCES AND ON ITS ONGOING REFORM EFFORTS AND TO PREPARE A
- 8 REPORT TO THIS BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS. I'M GIVING YOU THAT
- 9 REPORT NOW. AS REFLECTED IN HIS 2007-2008 PROPOSED BUDGET, THE
- 10 GOVERNOR'S TOP PRIORITY IS PUBLIC SAFETY THROUGH CORRECTIONS
- 11 REFORM. THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET DOCUMENTS INDICATE THAT,
- 12 BEGINNING JULY 1, 2007, THIS YEAR, THE DIVISION OF JUVENILE
- 13 JUSTICE WILL BEGIN A PROCESS OF REDUCING THE POPULATION OF ITS
- 14 JUVENILE OFFENDERS HOUSED IN STATE FACILITIES BY USING LOCAL
- 15 FACILITIES AND WILL PROVIDE FINANCIAL RESOURCES TO SUPPORT
- 16 THEIR PROGRAMS AND HOUSING NEEDS. THE DIVISION OF JUVENILE
- 17 JUSTICE WILL REDUCE ITS POPULATION SO THAT ONLY THE MOST
- 18 SERIOUS AND VIOLENT JUVENILE OFFENDERS ARE HOUSED IN STATE
- 19 FACILITIES. OFFENDERS CONVICTED OF LESSER CRIMES WILL REMAIN
- 20 IN A COUNTY LEVEL, WHERE THEY CAN BENEFIT FROM PROGRAMS
- 21 PROVIDED AT THE LOCAL COMMUNITY LEVEL AND BE CLOSER TO
- 22 POTENTIAL SUPPORT AND NETWORKS. THE DIVISION OF JUVENILE
- 23 JUSTICE WILL ACCOMPLISH THIS SHIFT BY NARROWING THE SCOPE OF
- 24 INTAKE AND PHASING OUT A PORTION OF THE CURRENT POPULATION. SO
- 25 THE DIVISION OF JUVENILE JUSTICE WILL, ONE, STOP INTAKE OF ALL



- 1 FEMALE JUVENILE OFFENDERS AND NO LONGER HOUSE FEMALES IN A DJJ
- 2 FACILITY. AGAIN, THIS IS THEIR PROPOSAL. STOP THE INTAKE OF
- 3 PAROLE VIOLATORS WITH THE ORIGINAL NONVIOLENT OFFENSE. STOP
- 4 THE INTAKE OF NONVIOLENT JUVENILES WHO WERE ADJUDICATED BY THE
- 5 COURT AND ARE CURRENTLY COMMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 6 DIVISION OF JUVENILE JUSTICE UNTIL THE AGE OF 21 AND RETURN
- 7 NONVIOLENT JUVENILE OFFENDERS WHO ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF
- 8 THE DIVISION OF JUVENILE JUSTICE UNTIL THE AGE OF 21 TO THIS
- 9 JURISDICTION OF THE COUNTIES. AS A MEMBER OF THE EXECUTIVE
- 10 COMMITTEE OF THE CHIEF PROBATION OFFICERS OF CALIFORNIA, I MET
- 11 IN SACRAMENTO ON FEBRUARY 9 WITH OTHER CHIEF PROBATION
- 12 OFFICERS TO DISCUSS A STRATEGY RELATING TO THE GOVERNOR'S
- 13 PROPOSED DJJ BUDGET AND WE MET WITH TOP OFFICIALS FROM THE
- 14 GOVERNOR'S OFFICE, THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE, THE CORRECTIONS
- 15 DEPARTMENT AND THE DIVISION OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND OTHER
- 16 MEMBERS OF THE STAFF. THESE OFFICIALS CAME TO THE MEETING
- 17 INTERESTED IN SOLICITING WAYS FROM THE COUNTIES TO MAKE THIS
- 18 PROPOSAL WORK AND, WHILE THEY WERE ALSO VERY ADAMANT THAT SOME
- 19 CHANGES THAT THEY BELIEVED WERE NECESSARY, THEY REMAINED
- 20 FLEXIBLE ON HOW THIS COULD BEST BE ACCOMPLISHED AND WANTED TO
- 21 DO THIS IN CONCERT WITH THE COUNTIES. WHILE THE DISCUSSION
- 22 PROVED PRODUCTIVE, MANY OF THE DETAILS REALLY ARE YET TO BE
- 23 RESOLVED AND THERE'S A NEED FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION AT A
- 24 VARIETY OF LEVELS. THE KEY PARTS OF THE PROPOSAL ARE, FIRST OF
- 25 ALL, THE POPULATION. THERE ARE TWO DISTINCT POPULATION GROUPS



- 1 WHEN YOU LOOK ABOUT-- LOOK AT THIS MEASURE FROM A STATE
- 2 PERSPECTIVE AND THEY HAVE TO BE TREATED DIFFERENTLY AND I
- 3 THINK OUR APPROACH HAS TO BE DIFFERENT. ONE PART OF THIS
- 4 POPULATION GROUP ARE CURRENT DJJ WARDS, NONVIOLENT MALES WHO
- 5 ARE UNDER THE AGE OF 21. WHAT THEY'RE PROPOSING IS THAT THEY
- 6 BE TRANSFERRED BACK TO THE COUNTIES. HOWEVER, I THINK ANY
- 7 TRANSFER BACK TO THE COUNTIES SHOULD BE ON A VOLUNTARY BASIS.
- 8 I THINK IT SHOULD BE UP TO THE COUNTIES WHETHER THE COUNTIES
- 9 WANT TO ACCEPT THAT POPULATION GROUP THAT THEY HAVE IN A STATE
- 10 FACILITY. SECONDLY, WITH REGARD TO THAT POPULATION GROUP, I
- 11 THINK THAT IT MAY REQUIRE SOME JUDICIAL INTERVENTION; THAT IS,
- 12 I DON'T THINK THAT YOU CAN JUST CAPRICIOUSLY TRANSFER SOMEBODY
- 13 FROM A STATE FACILITY TO A COUNTY FACILITY. I THINK THAT THAT
- 14 MINOR WOULD HAVE TO BE REFERRED BACK TO JUVENILE COURT AND THE
- 15 JUVENILE COURT WOULD HAVE TO MAKE A FINDING. IF THE COUNTIES
- 16 WERE WILLING TO ACCEPT THESE MINORS BACK, THE STATE IS
- 17 PROPOSING THAT THEY WOULD FINANCE THIS TO THE TUNE OF ABOUT
- 18 \$94,000 PER WARD PER YEAR FOR THE LENGTH OF THAT COMMITMENT.
- 19 THE SECOND GROUP IS PROSPECTIVE MINORS AND WHAT THE STATE IS
- 20 PROPOSING IS STOPPING THE INTAKE OF NONVIOLENT MALES WITH AN
- 21 AGE UNDER 21 YEARS OF AGE. ANY JUVENILE WITH A 707(B) OFFENSE,
- 22 WHICH IS A SERIOUS OFFENSE, WOULD NOT BE A PART OF THIS
- 23 POPULATION GROUP AND ALL THOSE 707(B) OFFENDERS WOULD STILL BE
- 24 SUBJECT TO COMMITMENT TO A STATE FACILITY. ANY NON-707(B)
- 25 OFFENSE WOULD BE A MINOR WHO WOULD BE REQUIRED TO STAY IN A



- 1 COUNTY FACILITY. AGAIN, THE STATE IS PROPOSING \$94,000 PER
- 2 MINOR FOR THIS POPULATION GROUP. THE DIVISION OF JUVENILE
- 3 JUSTICE PROJECTS THAT THIS POPULATION GROUP FOR '07 TO '08
- 4 WOULD BE ABOUT 217 MINORS STATEWIDE. LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 5 CURRENTLY HAS 181 MALES AND SIX FEMALES WHO ARE NOT 707(B)
- 6 OFFENDERS IN STATE FACILITIES. IN OTHER WORDS, THEY HAVE NOT
- 7 BEEN DECLARED AS UNFIT MINORS. THE FEMALE POPULATION, THE
- 8 STATE IS PROPOSING TO EITHER CONTRACT OUT THE CURRENT FEMALES
- 9 WHO ARE HOUSED IN THE VENTURA SCHOOL FOR GIRLS WITH AN OUTSIDE
- 10 VENDOR AND THEY'RE PROPOSING TO STOP THE INTAKE OF ALL GIRLS
- 11 BEGINNING JULY 1ST. WE POINTED OUT TO THEM THAT WE THOUGHT
- 12 THAT WHATEVER DECISION THAT THEY MAKE WITH REGARD TO ACCEPTING
- 13 MINORS SHOULD BE GENDER NEUTRAL. WE THINK THAT, IF IT WASN'T
- 14 GENDER NEUTRAL, THEN IT PROBABLY WOULD NOT WITHSTAND JUDICIAL
- 15 SCRUTINY. THE STATE IS ALSO PROPOSING THE STOPPING OF INTAKE
- 16 ON PAROLE VIOLATORS WHO DID NOT HAVE A-- WHO HAD A NONVIOLENT
- 17 JUVENILE OFFENSE. AND THEY PROJECT THAT THIS IS ABOUT 236
- 18 MINORS STATEWIDE THAT ARE CURRENTLY IN THEIR FACILITIES.
- 19 THEY'RE ALSO PROPOSING STOPPING THE JUVENILE PAROLE OF
- 20 OFFENDERS AND HAVING THE STATE TAKE ON THAT RESPONSIBILITY
- 21 AND, IF THIS COUNTY TOOK ON THAT RESPONSIBILITY, THAT WOULD
- 22 MEAN THAT WE WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SUPERVISION ON
- 23 PROBATION OF AN ADDITIONAL 674 MINORS, MOST OF WHOM WOULD NEED
- 24 A HIGHER LEVEL OF SUPERVISION. THE FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS OF
- 25 THIS PROPOSAL IS \$94,000 PER WARD IS WHAT THE DIVISION OF



- 1 JUVENILE JUSTICE IS BASING THIS COST ON, WHICH IS THE PRE-
- 2 FARRELL LAWSUIT EXPENDITURE. I NOTICE THAT THE CHIEF
- 3 LEGISLATIVE ANALYST HAS INDICATED THAT THE COST OF ACTUAL
- 4 CONFINEMENT OF WARDS IN THE STATE FACILITY MAY BE HIGHER THAN
- 5 THAT, MAYBE AS MUCH AS \$130,000. SO I SEE THAT 94,000 AS BEING
- 6 A FLOOR AND NOT NECESSARILY A CEILING. SO THERE IS A NEED FOR
- 7 FURTHER DISCUSSIONS TO TEST IF 94,000 PER MINOR IS THE RIGHT
- 8 AMOUNT AND EXACTLY HOW THAT WOULD-- WHAT KIND OF A FORMULA
- 9 SHOULD BE DEVELOPED TO REIMBURSE COUNTIES ON A BLOCK GRANT
- 10 BASIS. WHAT I RECOMMEND AT THIS POINT IS THAT WE CONTINUE
- 11 ONGOING DISCUSSIONS WITH THE KEY STAKEHOLDERS INVOLVED IN THE
- 12 PROCESS AND WORK WITH THE CAO'S OFFICE AND WITH PROFESSIONAL
- 13 ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS SUCH AS THE CHIEF PROBATION
- 14 OFFICERS OF CALIFORNIA AND CSAC TO ENSURE THE DEVELOPMENT OF
- 15 PRODUCTIVE AND EOUITABLE NEGOTIATIONS AND EFFECTIVE SOLUTIONS
- 16 TO THIS PARTNERSHIP. I ALSO RECOMMEND THAT WE ENSURE THAT
- 17 NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE STATE INCLUDE ANY AND ALL SERVICES THAT
- 18 ARE ADEOUATELY FUNDED AND DEDICATED WITH AN ONGOING FUNDING
- 19 STREAM TIED TO INDUSTRY-SPECIFIC FACTORS, INFLATION BEING ONE
- 20 OF THOSE, TO ENSURE THAT WE DO HAVE SUCCESSFUL OUTCOMES FOR
- 21 THESE OFFENDERS. I ALSO RECOMMEND THAT, CONSISTENT WITH
- 22 BOARD'S APPROVED POLICY, THAT WE IDENTIFY AND ENHANCE PROGRAMS
- 23 AT THE COUNTY LEVEL THAT HAVE BEEN PROVEN TO ENHANCE THE
- 24 OUTCOMES FOR THESE MINORS, INCLUDING REDUCING STATE AND LOCAL
- 25 DETENTION POPULATIONS AND RECIDIVISM. AND, FINALLY, I



- 1 RECOMMEND THAT WE ESTABLISH CLEAR DEFINITIONS WITH THE STATE
- 2 OVER COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES, COMMENSURATE WITH GUIDELINES
- 3 THAT ARE DEVELOPED.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. MS. BURKE?

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE: THIS 94,000 WOULD NOT INCLUDE ANY CAPITAL COSTS?
- 8 THAT WOULD BE MAINTENANCE COSTS, RIGHT?

9

- 10 BOB TAYLOR: YES, SUPERVISOR, THAT'S CORRECT. THE GOVERNOR HAS
- 11 ANOTHER PROPOSAL DEALING WITH CAPITAL OUTLAYS WHERE HE'S
- 12 PROPOSED \$5 BILLION IN CAPITAL OUTLAYS FOR MORE PRISONS AND
- 13 FOR MORE JUVENILE FACILITIES. OF THAT \$5 BILLION, \$400 MILLION
- 14 HAS BEEN PROPOSED FOR JUVENILE FACILITIES STATEWIDE. THAT
- 15 WOULD REQUIRE A 25% MATCH.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE: SO HOW MUCH WOULD IT COST FOR US TO BE ABLE TO
- 18 TAKE THESE-- IS IT \$217 RIGHT NOW?

19

- 20 BOB TAYLOR: IT'S \$186 THAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE AT THE STATE
- 21 LEVEL RIGHT NOW THAT ARE NON-707(B).

22

- 23 SUP. BURKE: SO WE WOULD NEED NEW FACILITIES, RIGHT? BECAUSE WE
- 24 DON'T HAVE FACILITIES FOR THEM OR DO WE?



- 1 BOB TAYLOR: WE DO HAVE SOME BED CAPACITY BUT THIS POPULATION
- 2 GROUP WOULD PROBABLY REQUIRE ADDITIONAL CAPACITY.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: AND EVEN THOUGH-- THAT'S REALLY WHAT I THINK THAT
- 5 WE WANT TO KNOW IS HOW MUCH IT'S GOING TO COST US IN ORDER TO
- 6 PROVIDE THE KIND OF FACILITY YOU NEED FOR THESE PARTICULAR
- 7 YOUNG PEOPLE. AND ALSO WHEN YOU COMPARE -- AND I'M THINKING
- 8 ABOUT, AT SOME TIME AGO, WE USED TO PAY ONE AMOUNT FOR YOUNG
- 9 PEOPLE IN THE CAMP AND A DIFFERENT AMOUNT IF THEY SENT THEM TO
- 10 YOUTH AUTHORITY. NOW, I GATHER THE STATE PAYS FOR ALL THE
- 11 YOUTH AUTHORITY AND WE PAY NO PORTION OF IT, IS THAT CORRECT?

12

- 13 BOB TAYLOR: THERE'S A SLIDING SCALE THAT THE YOUTH AUTHORITY,
- 14 THE DIVISION OF JUVENILE JUSTICE USES FOR MINORS THAT ARE SENT
- 15 TO STATE FACILITIES. AND, IF THOSE MINORS HAVE BEEN DECLARED
- 16 TO BE UNFIT AND IF THEY'RE GANG MEMBERS, FOR EXAMPLE, WE PAY
- 17 NOTHING. HOWEVER, IF THEY'RE NOT A 707(B) OFFENDER, THEN WE DO
- 18 PAY AN AMOUNT, DEPENDING ON WHAT THE VIOLATION IS.

19

20 SUP. BURKE: IT'S A SLIDING SCALE?

21

22 BOB TAYLOR: YES.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: SO, AT PRESENT, OF THOSE THAT WE'RE SENDING TO
- 2 YOUTH AUTHORITY, THAT THEY'RE THINKING ABOUT SENDING BACK, ARE
- 3 WE PAYING SOMETHING ON SOME OF THEM NOW?

4

5 BOB TAYLOR: WE'RE PAYING THE STATE FOR SOME OF THEM NOW, YES.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE: WHAT AMOUNT GENERALLY IS THE TOTAL WE'RE PAYING
- 8 FOR THEM?

9

10 BOB TAYLOR: BETWEEN \$12,000 AND \$30,000 A YEAR.

11

12 SUP. BURKE: FOR EACH CHILD?

13

14 BOB TAYLOR: YES.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE: \$12,000 AND \$30,000. WE WOULD NO LONGER PAY THAT,
- 17 RIGHT, IF HE GIVES US THE 94?

18

19 BOB TAYLOR: THAT'S CORRECT.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE: BUT WE WOULD HAVE TO PROVIDE OTHER SERVICES IN
- 22 TERMS OF FACILITY, WE WOULD HAVE TO PROVIDE FACILITY AND STAFF
- 23 AND EVERYTHING FROM THAT?

24

25 BOB TAYLOR: YES, SUPERVISOR BURKE, THAT'S CORRECT.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE: NOW, ONE OF THE THINGS I'M NOT CLEAR ON IS THAT,
- 3 FROM THE TESTIMONY FROM SOME OF THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE COME HERE
- 4 ON THE WHOLE OUESTION OF YOUTH AUTHORITY AND THE VIOLENCE AND
- 5 ALSO MISTREATMENT AND SUICIDES IN YOUTH AUTHORITY, I DON'T
- 6 KNOW WHETHER YOU WERE HERE WHEN WE'VE HEARD THAT TESTIMONY,
- 7 MANY OF THEM RAISED THAT ISSUE THAT ANYONE WHO IS IDENTIFIED
- 8 AS A GANG MEMBER, WHETHER OR NOT THEY HAVE A VIOLENT CRIME OR
- 9 NOT, IF THEY'RE IDENTIFIED AS A GANG MEMBER, THEY
- 10 AUTOMATICALLY GO TO YOUTH AUTHORITY. NOW, IF THEY GO TO YOUTH
- 11 AUTHORITY, HOW ARE THEY CLASSIFIED? ARE THEY CLASSIFIED AS A
- 12 NONVIOLENT OR ARE THEY CLASSIFIED UNDER THE MORE VIOLENT
- 13 CATEGORY?

- 15 BOB TAYLOR: GENERALLY, THE YOUTH AUTHORITY CLASSIFIES PEOPLE
- 16 AS EITHER CLASSIFICATION-- THEY HAVE A, A CATEGORY OF PEOPLE,
- 17 IF YOU WILL. IT'S CATEGORY ONE THROUGH SEVEN. CATEGORY 1 IS
- 18 THE MOST VIOLENT OFFENDER, AND SO THAT'S WHERE YOUR MURDERERS
- 19 AND RAPISTS AND THOSE KINDS OF FOLKS WOULD BE. AND THEN THE
- 20 NUMBERS GO DOWN IN TERMS OF THEIR LEVEL OF VIOLENCE TO
- 21 CATEGORY NUMBER 7. SO, GENERALLY, THEY USE THE OFFENSE FOR
- 22 WHICH THE MINOR WAS CONVICTED, RATHER THAN A HISTORY OF WHO
- 23 THE MINOR MAY BE; THAT IS, IF THE MINOR IS A GANG MEMBER. NOW,
- 24 THEY MAY FURTHER CLASSIFY PEOPLE BY VIRTUE OF THEIR GANG
- 25 MEMBERSHIP. THEY TEND TO SEPARATE OUT PEOPLE TO DETERMINE



- 1 WHETHER OR NOT THEY ARE GANG MEMBERS AND THEN HOUSE THEM
- 2 ACCORDINGLY WITH THEIR OWN GROUP OF AFFILIATION.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: WHAT I'M TRYING TO REALLY UNDERSTAND IS EXACTLY
- 5 THE REAL CATEGORY OF PEOPLE THAT THEY'RE THINKING ABOUT
- 6 SENDING BACK TO US. I KNOW THEY'RE SAYING THEY'RE SENDING THE
- 7 LEAST VIOLENT BUT THEY MAY BE THE LEAST VIOLENT BY OFFENSE
- 8 COMMITTED, BUT WE DON'T NECESSARILY KNOW WHETHER THEY'RE THE
- 9 LEAST VIOLENT IN TERMS OF THEIR ASSOCIATIONS AND WHETHER OR
- 10 NOT THEY'RE THE LEAST VIOLENT IN TERMS OF THEIR COMMUNITY
- 11 PARTICIPATION.

12

- 13 BOB TAYLOR: OF THESE 186 MINORS THAT ARE CURRENTLY HOUSED AT
- 14 STATE FACILITIES WHO ARE NOT 707(B)S, WHO ARE NOT UNFIT
- 15 MINORS, THEY HAVE ALL BEEN THROUGH OUR CAMP SYSTEM BEFORE.

16

17 SUP. BURKE: BEFORE. SO THEY'VE ALL HAD THE CAMP EXPERIENCE?

18

19 BOB TAYLOR: YES.

20

21 SUP. BURKE: ABOUT THREE TIMES?

22

- 23 BOB TAYLOR: SOME OF THEM AS MANY AS THREE TIMES. MOST OF THEM
- 24 HAVE BEEN THERE AT LEAST ONCE AND PERHAPS TWICE.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: SO WE HAVE ALREADY GONE THROUGH THAT WITH THEM IN
- 2 TERMS OF OUR EXPERIENCE?

3

4 BOB TAYLOR: YES, SUPERVISOR, THAT'S CORRECT.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE: WHAT IS YOUR FEELING IN TERMS OF EXACTLY AT WHAT
- 7 POINT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO EVEN-- IF WE HAVE A CHOICE, ALL
- 8 RIGHT, IF WE HAVE A CHOICE, WHAT WOULD BE THE DETERMINATION IN
- 9 TERMS OF WHAT WOULD BE THE REQUIREMENTS FOR US TO GO ALONG
- 10 WITH THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL?

11

- 12 BOB TAYLOR: AT THIS POINT IN THE NEGOTIATIONS, I HAVE TOLD
- 13 THEM THAT I'M NOT REALLY INTERESTED IN BRINGING PEOPLE BACK
- 14 WHO ARE ALREADY IN STATE FACILITIES. THAT, IF WE WANT TO
- 15 IDENTIFY A DATE OUT, JULY OR JANUARY OR WHATEVER IT IS,
- 16 SOMEWHERE IN THE FUTURE, FROM THAT POINT ON, I WOULD NOT
- 17 OPPOSE NO LONGER SENDING NON-707(B)S TO THE STATE.

18

19 SUP. BURKE: I SEE.

- 21 BOB TAYLOR: AS YOU LOOK AT OUR EXPERIENCE, OUR HISTORY, IF YOU
- 22 WILL, WITH THE STATE, OVER THE PAST FIVE YEARS, WE'VE BEEN
- 23 ABLE TO REDUCE, BY 65%, THE NUMBER OF MINORS THAT WE SEND TO
- 24 THE STATE. WE RECOGNIZE THAT WE'RE MORE SUCCESSFUL IF WE'RE
- 25 ABLE TO KEEP THOSE MINORS CLOSE TO THE COMMUNITY, CLOSE TO



- 1 THEIR FAMILY AND CLOSE TO SERVICES THAT MIGHT BE PROVIDED TO
- 2 THEM WHEN THEY'RE RELEASED. SO I WOULD BE AMENABLE TO HAVING A
- 3 DATE SOMEWHERE IN THE FUTURE AND FROM THAT POINT ON NO LONGER
- 4 SENDING UNFIT MINORS TO THE STATE.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE: AND THE PER CAPITA COST IN OUR CAMP IS
- 7 APPROXIMATELY, AVERAGE PER CAPITA COST?

8

9 BOB TAYLOR: ABOUT 68 TO \$69,000 PER MINOR PER YEAR.

10

11 SUP. BURKE: THANK YOU.

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BOB, LET ME JUST ASK A COUPLE OF
- 14 QUESTIONS AND THEN MR. ANTONOVICH. THE ISSUE FOR ME HAS BEEN,
- 15 AND I THINK FOR YOU, JUST READING YOUR COMMENTS PREVIOUSLY AND
- 16 AGAIN TODAY, THE ISSUE HAS BEEN, WHO ARE THEY SENDING BACK TO
- 17 THE COUNTIES AND HOW MANY? AND WHETHER WE'RE EQUIPPED, IN THIS
- 18 COUNTY, AT LEAST, TO HANDLE, GIVEN ALL THE OTHER PRESSURES ON
- 19 THIS DEPARTMENT RIGHT NOW, WHETHER WE'RE EQUIPPED TO HANDLE
- 20 THEM. AND PART OF MY VIEW IS COLORED BY THE HISTORY OF BAIT
- 21 AND SWITCH THAT THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IS MASTERFUL AT DOING.
- 22 THEY'LL MAKE YOU A DEAL NOW, 94,000 OR WHATEVER IT'S GOING TO
- 23 BE A YEAR, TO TAKE A CERTAIN NUMBER OF PEOPLE AND THEN, TWO
- 24 YEARS DOWN THE ROAD, IN A RECESSIONARY ECONOMY, THEY WON'T PAY
- 25 YOU \$94,000 A YEAR. I GUESS YOU COULD DO IT BY CONTRACT BUT,



- 1 YOU KNOW, THEY'LL BREAK THE CONTRACT AND THEN YOU CAN GO SUE
- 2 THEM AND WE HAVEN'T WON TOO MANY LAWSUITS. IN THE MEANTIME,
- 3 SOMEBODY'S GOT TO PICK UP THE TAB. SO I'M NERVOUS AND
- 4 SKEPTICAL ABOUT WHAT'S MOTIVATING THE STATE IN THIS. TO ME, IT
- 5 LOOKS LIKE THE STATE'S TRYING TO SAVE A FEW DOLLARS AT OUR
- 6 EXPENSE OVER THE LONG HAUL. SO I APPRECIATE, YOU KNOW, WHEN
- 7 YOU ZERO IN ON THE TYPES OF YOUNG MEN AND WOMEN THAT WE'RE
- 8 TALKING ABOUT, THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT, IN MODEST NUMBERS,
- 9 EVEN THEN, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE A NEED FOR SOME KIND OF A--
- 10 YOU MAY HAVE SOME BEDS AVAILABLE NOW, BUT THE SYSTEM THAT YOU
- 11 HAVE SET UP NOW IN THE CAMPS, AS WE KNOW FROM CHALLENGER, IS
- 12 NOT SET UP TO HANDLE, BASED ON THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE'S
- 13 OBSERVATIONS AND OTHERS, TO HANDLE THE LOAD. IS THAT A FAIR
- 14 STATEMENT?

15

- 16 BOB TAYLOR: I THINK THAT THAT'S VERY ACCURATE AND IT
- 17 CHARACTERIZES MY CONCERNS ACCURATELY, YES.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO EVEN IF WE DIDN'T GET A SINGLE
- 20 YOUNG PERSON FROM THE STATE, WE HAVE THAT PROBLEM RIGHT NOW,
- 21 DON'T WE?

22

23 BOB TAYLOR: YES, WE DO.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO EVEN IF WE DIDN'T GET A SINGLE
- 2 PERSON FROM THE STATE, YOU WOULD STILL MAKE A BEELINE TO TRY
- 3 TO GET SOME, AS MUCH OF THAT \$400 MILLION IN CAPITAL
- 4 IMPROVEMENT MONEY AS POSSIBLE TO TRY TO REDESIGN THE CAMPS TO
- 5 BE MORE RESPONSIVE TO THE NEEDS OF OUR CLIENTS?

6

7 BOB TAYLOR: OF OUR POPULATION GROUP, YES.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OF OUR POPULATION GROUP, CORRECT?

10

11 BOB TAYLOR: THAT'S CORRECT.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OF ALL OF THE CAMPS THAT WE HAVE,
- 14 WHERE IS OUR TOUGHEST POPULATION GROUP HOUSED CURRENTLY OF THE
- 15 CAMPS?

16

- 17 BOB TAYLOR: THE TOUGHEST POPULATION GROUP IS IN CHALLENGER UP
- 18 IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IN A COMPLEX, THERE'S SIX CAMPS?

21

22 BOB TAYLOR: YES, THAT'S CORRECT.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND, EVEN THOUGH-- WELL, WHAT IS
- 25 THE NATURE OF THE CHALLENGER HOUSING ARRANGEMENT NOW IN TERMS



- 1 OF OUR POPULATION? IS IT ADEQUATE? IS IT DESIGNED TO MEET
- 2 TODAY'S STANDARDS, THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE STANDARDS AND OUR
- 3 OWN OBJECTIVES?

4

- 5 BOB TAYLOR: IT PROBABLY MEETS DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE STANDARDS.
- 6 IT CERTAINLY MEETS CSA CORRECTIONS STANDARDS FOR THE STATE.
- 7 BUT, WHEN YOU LOOK AT IT AND COMPARE IT WITH CONTEMPORARY
- 8 FACILITIES THAT HAVE BEEN CONSTRUCTED IN THE PAST DECADE AND
- 9 ARE BEING CONSTRUCTED FOR MINORS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY, NO,
- 10 IT'S ARCHAIC.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND WHERE IN THE CAL-- ARE THERE
- 13 OTHER COUNTIES IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA THAT HAVE-- SAN
- 14 BERNARDINO HAS BUILT SOMETHING?

15

- 16 BOB TAYLOR: SAN BERNARDINO HAS A FAIRLY NEW FACILITY. VENTURA
- 17 HAS A FAIRLY NEW FACILITY.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND HOW DO THEY DIFFER FROM
- 20 CHALLENGER?

- 22 BOB TAYLOR: THEY HAVE A PODULAR DESIGN SO THAT-- AND, BY
- 23 PODULAR DESIGN-- CURRENTLY, OUR FACILITIES ARE LINEAR AND
- 24 THEY'RE DORMITORY STYLE SO WE HAVE 100 KIDS ROUGHLY IN A
- 25 DORMITORY. IN THE CENTER OF THE DORMITORY IS A CONTROL



- 1 STATION. THE PODULAR DESIGN ARE SMALLER FACILITIES, 20 TO 25
- 2 KIDS IN A FACILITY WITH STAFF. AND, IN THAT SMALLER FACILITY
- 3 THEY HAVE MENTAL HEALTHCARE, EDUCATION AND OTHER COMPONENTS
- 4 FOR THE PROGRAMMING EFFORT FOR THAT MINOR.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ARE ALL OF OUR CAMPS STRUCTURED
- 7 THIS WAY? ARE ALL THE HOUSING UNITS STRUCTURED THIS WAY IN OUR
- 8 CAMPS?

9

10 BOB TAYLOR: ALL OF OUR CAMPS ARE DORMITORY DESIGN, YES.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DID DORMITORY DESIGN MAKE ANY
- 13 SENSE IN ANY CIRCUMSTANCES? ARE THERE SOME CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE
- 14 YOU CAN LIVE WITH A DORMITORY DESIGN MORE THAN OTHERS?

15

16 BOB TAYLOR: NOT WITH TODAY'S POPULATION, NO.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO NO MATTER WHERE YOU'RE TALKING
- 19 ABOUT, WHETHER IT'S AT KILPATRICK OR CHALLENGER OR ANY OF OUR
- 20 OTHER CAMPS, THE POPULATION IS SUCH THAT NONE OF THEM SHOULD
- 21 HAVE DORMITORY STYLE HOUSING?

- 23 BOB TAYLOR: IF I HAD A MAGIC WAND AND COULD BUILD THEM, I
- 24 WOULDN'T BUILD A DORMITORY STYLE ANYWHERE IN LOS ANGELES
- 25 COUNTY TODAY.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHERE WOULD BE YOUR HIGHEST
- 3 PRIORITY AS FAR AS, IF YOU HAD TO REDESIGN A CAMP, WHAT WOULD
- 4 BE THE-- OF ALL OF OUR CAMPS, WHAT WOULD BE THE CAMP OR CAMPS
- 5 WHERE YOU WOULD PUT THE PRIORITY ON REDESIGN?

6

- 7 BOB TAYLOR: THE MORE SERIOUS POPULATION, THE POPULATION
- 8 NEEDING THE GREATEST EFFORT IS OUT OF CHALLENGER. THAT'S WHERE
- 9 THE MORE SERIOUS OFFENDERS ARE. THAT'S WHERE SOME OF THE
- 10 MENTALLY ILL MINORS ARE ALSO LOCATED. WE ALSO HAVE CAPACITY
- 11 THERE; THAT IS, WE HAVE THE REAL ESTATE TO BUILD.

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. I'D LIKE TO CIRCULATE A
- 14 MOTION-- I'M NOT GOING TO READ IT JUST IN THE INTEREST OF
- 15 TIME. BUT IT'S BASICALLY A REPORT BACK BUT A COMPREHENSIVE
- 16 REPORT BACK FROM THE C.A.O. AND YOUR DEPARTMENT ON WHAT THE
- 17 COSTS WOULD BE AND THE OPPORTUNITIES WOULD BE TO RECONFIGURE
- 18 DORMITORY SPACE AT THE CHALLENGER CAMPS SO THAT IT CAN MEET
- 19 TODAY'S NEEDS AS YOU ENVISION THEM, AS WE ALL ENVISION THEM,
- 20 TO MEET THE BEST PRACTICES STANDARDS THAT WE HAVE FOR DEALING
- 21 WITH THIS POPULATION. I SUSPECT IT'S GOING TO BE EXPENSIVE. I
- 22 DON'T KNOW HOW EXPENSIVE. I DON'T THINK ANY OF US HAVE A CLUE
- 23 BUT I WOULD LIKE TO AT LEAST GET AN ANALYSIS AND A BREAKDOWN
- 24 OF HOW MUCH IT WOULD BE, HOW MUCH IS GOING TO BE AVAILABLE AS
- 25 WE SEE THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET WORK ITS WAY THROUGH THE PROCESS



- I IN THE NEXT SEVERAL MONTHS AND THEN TO TRY TO FASHION A
- 2 PROPOSAL LATER ON AFTER YOU COME BACK WITH THIS REPORT, WE'LL
- 3 BE IN A POSITION TO FASHION A PROPOSAL TO SEE WHETHER WE HAVE
- 4 THE MATCH, WHETHER WE CAN POSSIBLY WORK WITH THE STATE ON A
- 5 SELECT-- TRY TO KILL TWO BIRDS WITH ONE STONE, SO TO SPEAK,
- 6 TRY TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE ISSUES THAT THE STATE HAS BROUGHT
- 7 FORWARD TO YOU, TO THE COUNTIES, UP AND DOWN THE STATE, BUT
- 8 FIRST THING WE NEED TO KNOW IS WE NEED TO KNOW HOW MUCH IT'S
- 9 GOING TO COST, WHAT THE OPPORTUNITIES ARE AT CHALLENGER AND I
- 10 THINK IT'S THE NUMBER ONE PRIORITY FOR US RIGHT NOW. IT'S NOT
- 11 THE ONLY PRIORITY BUT, IF YOU HAD TO CHOOSE ONE, THAT WOULD BE
- 12 WHERE IT WAS AND, IF YOU WANT TO EXPAND YOUR VIEW OF OTHERS,
- 13 AS WELL, THAT'S FINE, TOO. BUT I'M VERY CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT'S
- 14 GOING ON AT CHALLENGER. WE ALL ARE, BASED ON THE CONVERSATIONS
- 15 WE'VE HAD IN THE LAST SEVERAL WEEKS AND MONTHS IN THE JUSTICE
- 16 DEPARTMENT'S VISIT AND THE LIKE AND I THINK WE NEED TO GET OUT
- 17 AHEAD OF THIS A LITTLE BIT AND AT LEAST BE PREPARED WITH
- 18 INFORMATION, BE ARMED WITH INFORMATION THAT CAN LEAD US TO ONE
- 19 SOLUTION OR ANOTHER TO IMPROVE THE DESIGN OF THE CAMPS AND, IN
- 20 SO DOING, THE QUALITY OF SERVICE WE PROVIDE TO A VERY, VERY
- 21 CHALLENGING, NO PUN INTENDED, POPULATION THAT WE HAVE IN THIS
- 22 AND OUR OTHER CAMPS. SO THAT'S A SUMMARY OF WHAT'S IN THREE
- 23 PAGES OF ENGLISH AND I THINK IT'S LARGELY A REPORT BACK. YOU
- 24 WANT TO SECOND THAT? SECONDED BY MS. BURKE. FURTHER-- MR.
- 25 ANTONOVICH IS NEXT, THEN MR. KNABE.



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RELATIVE TO YOUR MOTION, SOME OF THAT COULD

- 3 INVOLVE POLICY AND I'D LIKE TO HAVE MY STAFF BE ABLE TO GO
- 4 OVER IT FOR THE WEEK AND WE VOTE ON IT NEXT WEEK. BUT, BOB,
- 5 DOESN'T CHALLENGER, IN COMPARISON TO THE OTHER CAMPS, ISN'T
- 6 CHALLENGER A STEP ABOVE BECAUSE IT WAS DESIGNED WITH SECURITY
- 7 IN MIND?

8

- 9 BOB TAYLOR: IT IS THE MOST SECURE FACILITY THAT WE HAVE AND
- 10 IT'S ALSO THE NEWEST FACILITY THAT WE HAVE. IT WAS BUILT ABOUT
- 11 20 YEARS AGO.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT. WHAT IS THE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE STATE
- 14 WOULD ABANDON THE INITIATIVE, DELAYING THEIR JUNE START DATE?

15

- 16 BOB TAYLOR: THIS IS ALL IN NEGOTIATION RIGHT NOW SO, YOU KNOW,
- 17 I DON'T THINK THAT ANY START DATE IS REALLY CAST IN CONCRETE
- 18 AT THIS POINT.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO WE CAN REASONABLY ASSUME THAT WE WOULD
- 21 BEGIN TAKING RESPONSIBILITY IN JUNE OF THESE INDIVIDUALS?

- 23 BOB TAYLOR: WELL, THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL IS TO START JULY 1ST
- 24 AND I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THIS WOULD HAPPEN ANY SOONER THAN
- 25 THAT.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW WOULD YOU ENSURE THAT THE INITIATIVE DOES
- 3 NOT NEGATIVELY IMPACT OUR PROGRESS UNDER THE SETTLEMENT
- 4 AGREEMENT WITH THE D.O.J.?

5

- 6 BOB TAYLOR: I THINK THAT THERE WOULD BE A NUMBER OF NEGATIVE
- 7 IMPACTS IF WE DECIDED TO TAKE THOSE 186 MINORS INTO OUR
- 8 FACILITIES.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND, MR. JANSSEN, DO WE HAVE A FISCAL
- 11 ANALYSIS TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE FULLY FUNDED IF THIS CHANGE
- 12 IN POLICY WOULD OCCUR?

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, MR. CHAIR, SUPERVISOR, WE ABSOLUTELY DO
- 15 NOT AND, YOU KNOW, THAT WOULD BE PRIORITY ONE. AND THE CHAIR
- 16 INDICATED EXACTLY THE STATE'S PAST BEHAVIOR. THEY'LL TRANSFER
- 17 A PROGRAM, WHICH APPEARS TO BE ENOUGH MONEY, AND, FIVE YEARS
- 18 DOWN THE ROAD, YOU'RE ABOUT AT 50% OF WHAT YOU CAN AFFORD SO
- 19 THAT HAS YET TO BE DONE IN DETAIL AND HAS TO BE DONE IN
- 20 DETAIL.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW DOES THAT COINCIDE WITH PROP 1B?

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I HAVE ASKED COUNTY COUNSELS TO BE SURE AND
- 25 TAKE A LOOK AT PROP 1A, TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE NONMANDATE



- 1 PROVISIONS IN THERE. I SUSPECT THE STATE CAN'T DO THIS WITHOUT
- 2 OUR APPROVAL. I DON'T KNOW, BOB, IF YOU GUYS HAVE CONCLUDED
- 3 THAT BUT I SUSPECT THEY HAVE TO HAVE OUR APPROVAL TO DO THIS
- 4 OR IT WOULD BE A MANDATE AND IT WOULD BE A VIOLATION OF PROP
- 5 1A.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THEN THE COUNTY DELEGATION IS APPRISED OF THE
- 8 SERIOUSNESS OF THIS MULTI-MILLION DOLLAR SHIFT?

9

10 BOB TAYLOR: YES, THEY ARE.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND TO THE COUNTY COUNSEL, IS THE STATE UNDER
- 13 A CONSENT DEGREE?

14

- 15 LEELA KAPUR: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, WE LOOKED INTO THAT, ON
- 16 BEHALF OF YOUR STAFF AFTER THEY REQUESTED THAT LAST WEEK. WE
- 17 HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO IDENTIFY AN ACTUAL CONSENT DECREE. WE'RE
- 18 CONTINUING TO LOOK FOR IT. WE DO KNOW THAT THE STATE IS
- 19 INVOLVED IN LITIGATION REGARDING ITS DETENTION OF YOUTH AND
- 20 WHETHER THAT'S ACTUALLY ARTICULATED IN A CONSENT DECREE OR
- 21 NOT, WE HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO DETERMINE.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: COULD WE GET A REPORT BACK FOR THE FIRST
- 24 TUESDAY IN MARCH, RELATIVE TO THE POTENTIAL IMPACT ON OUR
- 25 COUNTY SHOULD THIS INITIATIVE TAKE PLACE? I THINK IT'S



- 1 CRUCIAL, AND YOU'RE GOING TO REALLY BE PLAYING CATCH-UP IF THE
- 2 STATE'S GOING TO ADOPT THEIR BUDGET BY SECOND, THIRD WEEK OF
- 3 JUNE. I MEAN, IT'S KIND OF GOING IN REVERSAL, I SAID PROP 1B,
- 4 BUT IT'S PROP 1A-- A REVERSAL OF THE ATTEMPT WE HAD MADE IN
- 5 ENSURING THAT THERE WOULD BE FULL REIMBURSEMENT OF ANY COSTS
- 6 AND THAT WE WERE NOT GOING TO BE BEHOLDEN TO THE STATE FOR
- 7 SOME OF THESE POLICIES THAT PUT US IN A CATCH-22 POSITION AND
- 8 BASICALLY IT MAKES IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR US TO DO THE JOB THAT
- 9 WE'RE SUPPOSE TO DO WITH THE INMATES THAT WE HAVE WITHOUT
- 10 HAVING ADDITION, YOU KNOW, THE ADDITIONAL IMPACT TO OUR
- 11 COMMUNITY.

- 13 BOB TAYLOR: THAT'S ONE OF THE REASONS THAT I INDICATED THAT
- 14 I'M NOT REALLY IN FAVOR OF TAKING ANY MINORS THAT ARE
- 15 CURRENTLY HOUSED IN STATE FACILITIES. IF THEY WANT TO HAVE
- 16 SOME DATE OFF IN THE FUTURE WHERE WE NO LONGER SEND 707(B)S OR
- 17 NON-707(B)S TO THE STATE, SO THAT'S MINORS WHO HAVE NOT BEEN
- 18 DEEMED UNFIT, THEN, YOU KNOW, WE CAN ACCEPT THAT. BUT I'M NOT
- 19 INTERESTED IN TAKING ON MINORS THAT ARE CURRENTLY HOUSED IN
- 20 JUVENILE FACILITIES. I'M ALSO VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE FISCAL
- 21 PART OF IT BECAUSE I REMEMBER WHAT WAS DONE WITH THE MENTALLY
- 22 ILL WHEN THE STATE DECIDED TO CLOSE INSTITUTIONS FOR THE
- 23 MENTALLY ILL AND GAVE THAT OVER TO THE COUNTIES AND I CAN SEE
- 24 THIS MIGHT BE SORT OF ANOTHER PROPOSAL ON THEIR SIDE FOR DOING
- 25 MUCH THE SAME WITH MINORS.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE'RE HAVING A PROBLEM WITH LACO, THE OFFICE
- 3 OF EDUCATION AND MENTAL HEALTH BASICALLY SERVING THE NEEDS AND
- 4 THEN ADDING ADDITIONAL INDIVIDUALS. HOW IS THE STATE
- 5 SUPPLEMENTING THE OFFICE OF EDUCATION WITH THE RESOURCES TO
- 6 MEET THIS INCREASE?

7

- 8 BOB TAYLOR: I THINK THAT THERE ARE A WHOLE SERIES OF
- 9 UNANSWERED QUESTIONS BECAUSE A NUMBER OF THESE MINORS DO HAVE
- 10 BOTH EDUCATION AND MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES AND THAT'S WHY I SAID
- 11 THAT \$94,000 IN SOME CASES NEEDS TO BE THOUGHT OF AS A FLOOR
- 12 AND CERTAINLY NOT A CEILING BECAUSE THESE COSTS WOULD BE A LOT
- 13 MORE THAN WHAT ARE CURRENTLY BEING SPENT.

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT'S NEVER A DULL MOMENT.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. KNABE.

- 19 SUP. KNABE: I ASSUME THEN THAT WE'RE GOING TO WAIT A WEEK TO
- 20 VOTE ON THE MOTION BUT IT LOOKS VERY COMPREHENSIVE TO BEGIN
- 21 WITH. MY CONCERN IS THAT, YOU KNOW, THE SIDE WHERE WE HAVE TO
- 22 DO THE 25% MATCH, I MEAN, WHETHER IT'S REALISTIC. I MEAN, I
- 23 DON'T WANT-- IN LIGHT OF EVERYTHING GOING ON IN THE
- 24 DEPARTMENT, ARE WE GOING TO BE SPINNING OUR WHEELS FOR 60 TO
- 25 90 DAYS TO TRY TO COME UP WITH SOMETHING THAT DOESN'T HAVE AN



- 1 IMPACT TRYING TO SOLVE THIS OTHER PIECE OF THE PUZZLE? I WOULD
- 2 HOPE THAT THAT WOULD BE PART OF THE LOOK IN THIS WHOLE
- 3 PROCESS, IN ZEV'S MOTION.

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: CAN I JUST SPEAK TO THE MOTION FOR
- 6 A SECOND AND I'LL GET BACK-- BECAUSE ALL THIS IS, IS A COSTING
- 7 OUT OF THIS APPROACH, OF THIS REDESIGN OF THIS ONE COMPLEX.
- 8 IT'S NOT DESIGNED TO HAVE BUILDING PLANS AND ENGINEERING AND
- 9 ALL THAT BUT IT'S JUST TO GIVE US AN IDEA, SOONER RATHER THAN
- 10 LATER, WHAT THE ORDER OF MAGNITUDE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SO THAT
- 11 WE KNOW WHETHER WE'RE GETTING-- WHETHER WE'RE EVEN IN THE
- 12 BALLPARK ON A 25% MATCH OR NOT. OR WHETHER THERE'S A PHYSICAL
- 13 ABILITY TO DO IT OR NOT, I SUSPECT THERE PROBABLY IS. IT'S NOT
- 14 A DECISION TO DO IT BUT IT'S A REPORT BACK. AND I DON'T SEE
- 15 THE POLICY, MIKE, ISSUE HERE BECAUSE WE'RE NOT MAKING A
- 16 DECISION ON ANYTHING OTHER THAN TO GET SOME INFORMATION. AND I
- 17 WOULD ASK THAT WE JUST AT LEAST LET THEM DO THAT, NOT MAKE A
- 18 60 TO 90-DAY ENGINEERING PROJECT OUT OF IT BUT I SUSPECT THAT
- 19 YOU HAVE PEOPLE IN YOUR DEPARTMENT NOW WHO CAN COST THIS OUT
- 20 AT A REASONABLY MACRO LEVEL SO WE KNOW WHAT WE'RE TALKING
- 21 ABOUT, ARE WE TALKING ABOUT 50 MILLION OR 500 MILLION? AND SO
- 22 WE HAVE-- BECAUSE, AS MR. ANTONOVICH SAYS, AS WE GET THROUGH
- 23 THE SPRING AND THE BUDGET STARTS TO MOVE, TO BE NOT ARMED WITH
- 24 THAT INFORMATION AT THAT POINT TO BE ABLE TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF
- 25 AN OPPORTUNITY IF WE'RE IN A POSITION TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF



- 1 SUCH AN OPPORTUNITY WOULD BE A COLOSSAL MISSED OPPORTUNITY. SO
- 2 I WOULD URGE THAT WE NOT HOLD IT. IT'S ONLY A REPORT BACK. NO
- 3 DECISION. I DON'T SEE A...

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE: WELL, AS LONG AS IT'S-- MAYBE IT'S THE THREE-PAGE
- 6 PREAMBLE THAT THREW US OFF.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S WHY I DIDN'T READ IT.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE PROBLEM IS YOU'RE PICKING OUT ONE
- 11 FACILITY, THE ONLY FACILITY THAT'S BEEN UPDATED IN RECENT
- 12 TIMES. YOU'RE NOT LOOKING AT ALL THE VARIOUS CAMPS THAT THIS
- 13 WOULD IMPACT OR COULD IMPACT. SO YOU'RE MAKING A POLICY
- 14 DETERMINATION IN THAT VEIN. IF YOU WANT TO DO A MOTION AS TO
- 15 WHAT WOULD BE THE IMPACT FOR EACH OF THE PARTICULAR CAMPS THAT
- 16 WE HAVE, WHAT ARE THOSE COSTS, THAT'S ONE THING. BUT TO CHOOSE
- 17 ONE...

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AS I SAID EARLIER, IF YOU WANT TO
- 20 LOOK AT OTHER CAMPS, THAT'S FINE WITH ME. IT'S-- IT HAPPENS TO
- 21 BE THE ONE WITH THE TOUGHEST POPULATION. IT HAPPENS TO BE THE
- 22 ONE THAT D.O.J HAS BEEN AT AND IT HAPPENS TO BE THE ONE THAT
- 23 HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF CONSIDERABLE CONSTERNATION IN THE
- 24 COUNTY FAMILY SINCE THE D.O.J. WAS OUT THERE AND SHOULD HAVE
- 25 BEEN A MATTER OF SOME CONSTERNATION BEFORE THEY CAME OUT



- 1 THERE. AND I HAVE NO PROBLEM. I'M JUST TRYING NOT TO MAKE THIS
- 2 A WILD GOOSE CHASE BUT HOW MANY CAMPS DO WE HAVE ALL TOTAL?

3

4 BOB TAYLOR: 18.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 18. SO IF YOU WANT TO LOOK AT 18
- 7 CAMPS IN THIS CONTEXT, I'M NOT SURE THAT'S A GOOD EXPENDITURE
- 8 OF HIS TIME BUT THERE PROBABLY ARE MORE THAN JUST THESE SIX
- 9 AND I LEAVE IT TO YOUR JUDGMENT. BUT I DON'T WANT TO LIMIT IT
- 10 TO-- JUST TO CHALLENGER BUT I WANTED TO FOCUS ON CHALLENGER.
- 11 IT MAY BE NEW...

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THERE'S 12 CAMPS, IS IT NOT, BOB?

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: PARDON?

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: 12 CAMPS, 6 CAMPS AT CHALLENGER.

18

19 BOB TAYLOR: SIX OF THE CAMPS ARE AT CHALLENGER...

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 12 CAMPS.

22

23 BOB TAYLOR: THERE'S 12 ADDITIONAL CAMPS.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SIX AT CHALLENGER, 12 ADDITIONAL
- 2 CAMPS FOR A TOTAL OF 18. RIGHT. SO YOU TELL ME, BOB. IF IT'S
- 3 BROADENED TO COVER OTHERS, I MEAN, CAN YOU DO A MACRO VIEW OF-
- 4 I'M REALLY NOT INTIMATELY FAMILIAR WITH EVERY ONE OF THE 18
- 5 SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE SIZE AND THE OPPORTUNITIES ARE. THERE
- 6 MAY BE SOME WHERE THERE'S NO POINT TO IT AND THERE MAY BE SOME
- 7 WHERE THERE IS A POINT TO LOOKING AT THIS IN THIS CONTEXT.

8

- 9 BOB TAYLOR: FORTUNATELY, I'VE ASKED MY STAFF TO PUT TOGETHER
- 10 THAT KIND OF A PROPOSAL AND SO WE'RE BEGINNING TO WORK ON IT.
- 11 I DON'T KNOW THAT I CAN HAVE THAT BACK TO YOU IN A WEEK. IT
- 12 MAY TAKE LONGER THAN THAT.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, NO. NOT A WEEK. THAT'S THE 60
- 15 TO 90-DAY PIECE. I THINK WE WERE TALKING ABOUT...

16

17 BOB TAYLOR: I THINK 60 TO 90 DAYS IS DOABLE.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I THINK THAT'S WHAT THE MOTION
- 20 SAID. YEAH, 60 DAYS.

21

22 BOB TAYLOR: I CAN DO THAT.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO WE'LL BROADEN IT TO COVER THE
- 25 OTHER CAMPS AS WELL. WOULD THAT SATISFY YOU, MIKE?



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YES. WHY WOULDN'T YOU BEGIN AT CAMP ROCKY
- 3 WHERE YOU ONLY HAVE ONE UNIT AND IT'S THE OLDEST CAMP, WHICH
- 4 IS IN NEED OF THE UPGRADES?

5

- 6 BOB TAYLOR: BECAUSE, AT CAMP ROCKY, I WOULDN'T HAVE THE
- 7 ABILITY TO PUT ANOTHER FACILITY ON THOSE GROUNDS. SO WHAT I
- 8 WOULD HAVE TO DO, IN EFFECT, IS I'D HAVE TO MOVE ALL THE
- 9 MINORS OUT OF THAT CAMP, RELOCATE THEM SOME OTHER PLACE BEFORE
- 10 I BEGAN ANY KIND OF CONSTRUCTION AND THAT CAMP HOUSES ABOUT
- 10 KIDS. SO IT'S NOT THAT LARGE OF A FACILITY. IT'S ALSO
- 12 BEING ENCROACHED UPON BY DEVELOPMENT. SO IT WOULDN'T BE ON THE
- 13 TOP OF MY LIST BUT IT HAS NEEDS LIKE ALL THE CAMPS DO. ALL THE
- 14 CAMPS ARE AGED.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I COULDN'T VOTE FOR THIS TODAY, MAYBE NEXT
- 17 WEEK, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE MY STAFF REVIEW IT FOR THE WEEK
- 18 BECAUSE WE GOT IT JUST NOW. USUALLY WE SHARE AND...

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH, I KNOW BUT WE DIDN'T WRITE
- 21 IT UNTIL JUST NOW. SO, IT'S JUST ONE OF THOSE THINGS. WE DON'T
- 22 ALWAYS SHARE INFORMATION IN A TIMELY FASHION IF WE CAN'T. IT'S
- 23 NOT INTENTIONAL. THIS IS NOT A-- I HAVE TO SAY, ALL IT IS,
- 24 MIKE, IS GETTING THE INFORMATION. IF YOU WANT INFORMATION



- 1 ABOUT THE OTHER CAMPS, WE'LL INCLUDE THE INFORMATION ABOUT THE
- 2 OTHER CAMPS. BUT I THINK WE NEED TO GET MOVING ON THIS.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IF YOU WANT TO INCLUDE THE OTHER, YOU KNOW,
- 5 12 CAMPS, FINE, I HAVE NO PROBLEM GETTING INFORMATION ON ALL
- 6 12 CAMPS.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S FINE. THAT'S WHAT I SAID.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I HAVE A PROBLEM IN DESIGNATING ONE OF THOSE
- 11 CAMPS.

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S FINE.

14

15 BOB TAYLOR: I'D BE HAPPY TO DESIGNATE ALL THE CAMPS.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'M HAPPY TO DO THAT AND LET BOB,
- 18 AT HIS OWN DISCRETION, IF THERE'S NOT A CAMP YOU FEEL IS NOT
- 19 REALISTIC FOR YOU TO FOCUS YOUR ATTENTION ON, TALK TO MR.
- 20 ANTONOVICH AND EXPLAIN IT, TALK TO ALL OF US AND EXPLAIN.
- 21 THERE MAY BE SOME WHERE WE WOULD ALL AGREE. BUT LOOK AT ALL OF
- 22 THEM, FOCUS IN ON THE ONES THAT YOU THINK HAVE THE MOST
- 23 POTENTIAL. IS THAT A FAIR STATEMENT?

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND YOU NEED COMMUNITY INPUT AS WELL.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE'RE NOT AT THAT STAGE. MIKE, I
- 3 THINK YOU'RE MISUNDERSTANDING. ALL I'M ASKING FOR IN THIS IS
- 4 FOR US TO HAVE AN ORDER OF MAGNITUDE ON WHAT OUR OPPORTUNITIES
- 5 ARE. HOW MUCH ARE WE TALKING ABOUT IN TERMS OF COST? WHERE,
- 6 HOW MUCH, AND THOSE ARE BASICALLY THE TWO ISSUES. THEN, AFTER
- 7 HE COMES BACK WITH THAT, AFTER SURVEYING THE WHOLE SYSTEM, WE
- 8 CAN MAKE THAT POLICY DECISION OF WHETHER WE WANT TO DO IT HERE
- 9 OR DO IT THERE, WHETHER-- THEN SOLICIT INPUT. BUT I DON'T WANT
- 10 TO-- THIS IS NOT THE BEGINNING OF AN EIR PROCESS. IT'S REALLY
- 11 A PRIORITIZATION, AN INFORMATION SCOPING KIND OF A THING WHERE
- 12 WE AT LEAST HAVE AN IDEA AS WE GO INTO THIS BUDGET SESSION IN
- 13 THE STATE TO KNOW WHAT, IF MONEY BECAME AVAILABLE, WHAT OUR
- 14 OPPORTUNITIES ARE. AND ALSO TO BE RESPONSIVE AND GET AHEAD OF
- 15 THE CURVE INTERNALLY, NOT IN TERMS OF A FINAL APPROVAL, BUT AT
- 16 LEAST TO GET THE BALL ROLLING SO THAT WE'RE A BIT AHEAD OF THE
- 17 CURVE ON SOME OF THE ISSUES THAT WE'VE BEEN MADE AWARE OF. AND
- 18 NOT JUST HERE, BUT ALL OF THEM. AND I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH
- 19 THAT. AND THEN YOU CAN GIVE US YOUR ASSESSMENT IN 60 DAYS OR
- 20 SOONER IF YOU CAN.

- 22 SUP. BURKE: WOULD THE IDEA BE TO LOOK AT WHERE WE NEED TO LOOK
- 23 TO DO THE IMPROVEMENT THE MOST AND WHERE WE COULD COME UP WITH
- 24 SOME PLAN THAT WOULD BE-- I LIKE THE CONCEPT OF US MOVING
- 25 FORWARD TO DO SOMETHING TO TRY TO BRING THEM UP INTO A MODERN



- 1 SETTING. I THINK THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE SHOULD BE THINKING
- 2 ABOUT TRYING TO DO. BUT I GUESS THE QUESTION GETS TO BE: ARE
- 3 YOU GOING TO LOOK AT ALL OF THEM SEE HOW MUCH IT WOULD COST TO
- 4 DO ALL OF THEM OR ARE YOU LOOKING AT FINDING A PLACE WHERE
- 5 THERE IS SPACE, LAND AND ABILITY TO DO IT? AND THAT'S I GUESS
- 6 WHAT THE DISTINCTION I WOULD WANT.

7

- 8 BOB TAYLOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT I WOULD DO.
- 9 I'D LOOK TO SEE WHERE WE HAD THE CAPACITY TO DO THAT. SOME OF
- 10 THE CAMPS ARE VERY SMALL IN TERMS OF THEIR AREA AND I MAY NOT
- 11 HAVE THE CAPACITY THERE. BUT, ASIDE FROM CHALLENGER, WHICH IS
- 12 ONLY 20 YEARS OLD, WE HAVE CAMPS THAT ARE MORE THAN 50 YEARS
- 13 OLD. SOME OF THEM RESEMBLE WORLD WAR II OR EARLIER MILITARY
- 14 BARRACKS.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OR EARLIER.

17

18 BOB TAYLOR: OR EARLIER, YES.

19

20 SUP. BURKE: SO YOU'D LOOK AT THOSE FIRST?

21

22 BOB TAYLOR: YES, I WILL.

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: CAN I ASK FOR A CLARIFICATION SINCE YOU'RE NOW
- 25 LOOKING AT ALL THE CAMPS. I KNOW YOU DON'T WANT TO DO THIS.



- 1 ARE YOU FOCUSING SOLELY ON THE 400 MILLION OR ARE YOU ASKING
- 2 HIM ALSO TO CONSIDER THE POTENTIAL ADVANTAGES OF THE 95,000
- 3 AND ADDITIONAL POPULATION LOCALLY?

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, THAT'S A GOOD QUESTION. AND
- 6 I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER. WELL, I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT
- 7 AND THAT IS A POLICY QUESTION. BUT I THINK THAT ONE OF THE
- 8 THINGS WE'D BE IN A POSITION TO KNOW-- WELL, LET'S PUT IT THIS
- 9 WAY. THERE IS A POSSIBILITY, AND IT'S WAY TOO PREMATURE AND
- 10 THAT'S WHY I'VE RESISTED GETTING INTO THIS OVER THE LAST MONTH
- 11 OR SO, THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THAT WE'LL HAVE A PILOT
- 12 OPPORTUNITY WITH THE STATE OR SOME FORM OF A, I DON'T WANT TO
- 13 CALL IT EXPERIMENTAL, BUT A PILOT KIND OF AN APPROACH, IF IT
- 14 EVOLVES AS THE BUDGETARY ISSUES AND THIS WHOLE STATE, COUNTIES
- 15 BUSINESS EVOLVES IN THE NEXT THREE, FOUR MONTHS. IF THAT
- 16 OPPORTUNITY ARISES, I THINK WE'D BE WELL ADVISED TO BE
- 17 PREPARED TO SEE WHETHER THERE'S SOMETHING WE COULD WORK WITH
- 18 OR WHETHER IT'S DEAD ON ARRIVAL. I THINK SENDING SERIOUS, THE
- 19 MOST CHALLENGING TYPES OF THE POPULATION THAT THE STATE HAS
- 20 INTO OUR SYSTEM IS A NONSTARTER. I THINK IT'S A NONSTARTER BUT
- 21 THERE MAYBE SOMETHING WHERE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A LESS
- 22 CHALLENGING POPULATION OR A POPULATION THAT PERHAPS SHOULDN'T
- 23 BE WHERE THEY ARE. THERE MAY BE AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT. I
- 24 DON'T KNOW. THIS IS BEYOND MY PAY GRADE AND I DON'T KNOW
- 25 WHETHER THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL OR THE GOVERNOR'S



- 1 ADMINISTRATION IS CONTEMPLATING THAT AT THIS POINT OR NOT.
- 2 IT'S SOMETHING THAT'S WORTH DOING. IN OUR MOTION, POINT NUMBER
- 3 ONE ON THE LAST PAGE WAS THAT THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT, WITH
- 4 THE ASSISTANCE OF THE OF THE C.A.O. BE DIRECTED TO EXPLORE THE
- 5 AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING WITH THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR BOTH
- 6 THE ABOVE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS, NAMELY THE CHALLENGER CAMP AND
- 7 NO WE WOULD INCLUDE THE OTHERS, AND INCREASED OPERATING COST
- 8 THAT WOULD BE ASSOCIATED WITH SUCH CHANGES IN THE PHYSICAL
- 9 PLAN. NOW, THERE MAY BE AN OPPORTUNITY THERE IF THE STATE, IF
- 10 THERE'S A LEGITIMATE BINDING OPPORTUNITY, A LEGITIMATE BINDING
- 11 CONTRACT BETWEEN THE STATE AND US OVER SOME SPECIFIC AND
- 12 MANAGEABLE PIECE, MAYBE THAT WORKS. I THINK THAT'S SOMETHING
- 13 THAT WE-- IT'S WAY TOO PREMATURE AND IT'S NOT READY FOR PRIME
- 14 TIME. AND I HONESTLY DON'T KNOW WHETHER THE STATE'S GOING TO
- 15 EVEN BE WILLING TO LOOK AT SOMETHING LIKE THAT BUT IT'S
- 16 SOMETHING THAT, IF YOU SEE IT COMING IN YOUR DISCUSSIONS WITH
- 17 THE STATE AND WITH YOUR OTHER COLLEAGUES IN THE COUNTY, CHIEF
- 18 PROBATION OFFICERS, YOU OUGHT TO KEEP US ABREAST OF THAT. BUT,
- 19 FOR THE MOMENT, DAVID, I'M PRIMARILY FOCUSED ON THE DESIGN OF
- 20 THE FACILITIES THAT WE HAVE AND WHETHER WE'RE EQUIPPED TO DO--
- 21 TO MEET THE NEEDS OF OUR POPULATION NOW, NOT WITH ANY NEW
- 22 POPULATION BUT OUR POPULATION NOW. AND YOU KNOW AND I KNOW AND
- 23 EVERY MEMBER OF THIS BOARD KNOWS THAT WE ARE NOT DOING THE JOB
- 24 NOW. WE'RE EITHER GOING TO GET FORCED INTO IT BY A SERIES OF
- 25 GREAT EXPOSES AND NEWSPAPERS AND THEN WE'LL ALL BEAT OUR



- 1 CHESTS OR WE'LL DO IT BECAUSE WE KNOW WE NEED TO DO IT AND AT
- 2 LEAST GET STARTED SO THAT WE'RE ARMED WITH THE INFORMATION
- 3 THAT CAN LEAD US TO SOME POLICY DIRECTIONS INSTEAD OF FLYING
- 4 OFF THE SEAT OF OUR PANTS, BY THE SEAT OF OUR PANTS. AND
- 5 THAT'S ALL THIS IS IS JUST TO GET-- AND I WAS NOT AWARE THAT
- 6 YOU WERE ALREADY LOOKING AT THIS. IF YOU'RE ALREADY LOOKING AT
- 7 THIS...

8

9 BOB TAYLOR: IT'S PART OF LONG RANGE PLANNING, YES.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THEN LET'S JUST REAFFIRM WHAT
- 12 YOU'RE DOING AND BRING IT BACK TO US IN 60 DAYS. JUST PUT A
- 13 LITTLE HEAT ON YOU, UNDER YOUR SEAT TO GET IT TO US SOONER
- 14 THAN YOU MIGHT HAVE OTHERWISE WANTED TO. WE NEED TO KNOW. WE
- 15 NEED TO KNOW WHAT OUR OPTIONS ARE. THAT'S ALL. AND THIS MAY BE
- 16 SO EXPENSIVE AND SO OUT OF THE STRATOSPHERE THAT WE CAN'T
- 17 HANDLE IT AND, IF WE CAN'T, THE REST OF THE WORLD NEEDS TO
- 18 KNOW HOW OUT OF THE STRATOSPHERE IT IS. ON THE OTHER HAND, IT
- 19 MAY BE THAT WE CAN DO IT AND THAT THERE MAY BE SOME THINGS WE
- 20 CAN DO, IN WHICH CASE, WE OUGHT TO KNOW IT AND LEVERAGING OUR
- 21 25%, IF WE HAVE 25% WITH THE STATE'S 75%, WE CAN MAKE SOME
- 22 IMPROVEMENTS. THERE'S NO SILVER BULLET HERE THAT'S GOING TO
- 23 SOLVE ALL THE PROBLEMS WITH ONE FELL SWOOP BUT WE'VE GOT TO
- 24 START.



1 BOB TAYLOR: I AGREE.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE: WELL, JUST, I MEAN, BECAUSE THERE'S LITTLE THINGS
- 4 INSIDE THAT CAUGHT MY EYE ON THIS REPORT AS AN EXAMPLE, WITHIN
- 5 YOUR REPORT, YOU MENTION FRED NELLIS IN WHITTIER.

6

7 **BOB TAYLOR:** YES.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE: TRUST ME, THAT'S ABOUT AS VOLATILE AS YOU CAN
- 10 POSSIBLY GET. I MEAN, IT'S BEEN CLOSED DOWN. THE STATE GAVE IT
- 11 TO THE CITY, I MEAN, SOLD IT TO THE CITY OF WHITTIER, THEN
- 12 THEY TOOK IT BACK AND THERE'S A BIG ARGUMENT AND I'M SURE
- 13 YOU'VE NOT HAD ANY CONVERSATIONS WITH THE CITY COUNCIL OR
- 14 MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY OUT THERE BECAUSE THEY CERTAINLY--
- 15 BUT I'M JUST SAYING, THOSE KINDS OF ISSUES AND THE LONG RANGE
- 16 PLANNING COULD BE A HOT BUTTON. SO, I MEAN, THIS STUDY APPLIES
- 17 TO THE EXISTING FACILITIES, IS THAT CORRECT?

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S CORRECT.

20

21 SUP. KNABE: OKAY.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND ALL IT IS, IS A MACRO COSTING
- 24 OUT. THAT'S ALL IT IS. IT'S NOT A DECISION TO GO ANYWHERE.



- 1 IT'S JUST TO GIVE US AN IDEA OF WHAT'S POSSIBLE IF WE WANTED
- 2 TO DO SOMETHING.

3

4 SUP. KNABE: OKAY.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OR IF WE HAD TO DO SOMETHING. AND
- 7 I THINK IT'S A GOOD SUGGESTION THAT WE EXPAND IT AND LOOK AT
- 8 EVERYTHING. IT MAKES-- AND IF YOU'RE ALREADY DOING IT, IT
- 9 MAKES ALL THE SENSE IN THE WORLD. ALL RIGHT. YOU WANT US TO DO
- 10 ANYTHING WITH YOUR REPORT TODAY, BOB? YOU HAD A SERIES OF
- 11 RECOMMENDATIONS.

12

- 13 BOB TAYLOR: NO, I THINK THAT, YOU KNOW, I'D LIKE TO COME BACK
- 14 TO YOU AT SOME POINT IN THE FUTURE AFTER WE'VE HAD THESE
- 15 DISCUSSIONS WITH STATE, WITH THE STATE AND WITH OUR OTHER
- 16 PARTNERS AND SORT OF UPDATE YOU ON HOW THOSE DISCUSSIONS HAVE
- 17 GONE.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. DO THAT ON A REGULAR
- 20 BASIS, EARLIER RATHER THAN LATER IN THE PROCESS.

21

22 BOB TAYLOR: YES, SIR.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. WITHOUT OBJECTION, IF
- 25 THERE'S NO OBJECTION, I'LL MOVE, MS. BURKE SECONDS THE MOTION,



- 1 UNANIMOUS VOTE ON THE REPORT BACK MOTION AND WE'LL SEE YOU ON
- 2 THAT ISSUE IN 60 DAYS OR SOONER. THANK YOU, BOB. ANY OTHER
- 3 QUESTIONS-- OH, BEFORE WE-- THERE WAS ONE PERSON THAT WANTED
- 4 TO BE HEARD. ARNOLD SACHS. MR. SACHS, I APOLOGIZE.

5

- 6 ARNOLD SACHS: GOOD AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. JUST FOR
- 7 POINT OF CLARIFICATION, I NOTED THE DISCUSSION CAME UP LAST
- 8 WEEK REGARDING STAFFING FOR THESE CAMPS. AND I JUST WAS A
- 9 LITTLE BIT CONFUSED AND MAYBE SOMEBODY COULD ENLIGHTEN ME. YOU
- 10 CAME UP WITH TWO DIFFERENT NUMBERS. YOU WERE CONCERNED ABOUT
- 11 STAFFING AT ONE TO 25 AND THEN THERE WAS CONFLICTS BECAUSE
- 12 THERE WERE REPORTS FROM THE D.O.J. ABOUT STAFFING AT ONE TO
- 13 TEN. AND PART OF THE PROBLEM WAS IS THAT YOU WERE GETTING
- 14 FUNDING FROM THE D.O.J., I BELIEVE THAT'S WHAT I HEARD LAST
- 15 WEEK, THAT THE WAY THEY WERE COMING UP WITH THEIR RATIO OF
- 16 STAFF WORKERS TO JUVENILES AT THE CAMPS WAS DIFFERENT THAN
- 17 WHAT THE STATE WAS ALLOCATING. AND MY QUESTION IS, IF THE
- 18 D.O.J. IS THE PEOPLE THAT ARE OFFERING THE FUNDING, ISN'T IT
- 19 BETTER TO MEET THEIR STANDARDS THAN THE STATE STANDARDS?
- 20 SOMEWHERE ALONG THE LINE, I GOT THE IDEA THERE WERE TWO
- 21 DIFFERENT STANDARDS BEING TALKED ABOUT.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. JANSSEN, DO YOU WANT TO ANSWER
- 24 THAT?



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: FIRST OF ALL, THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS NOT
- 2 OFFERING ANY FUNDING SO THEY'RE DOING THE INSPECTION, THEY'RE
- 3 NOT GIVING US MONEY. THE QUESTION OF STANDARDS IS THAT WE ARE
- 4 ONLY REQUIRED TO FOLLOW STATE STANDARDS AND THE STATE STANDARD
- 5 IS ONE TO TEN AND THAT'S WHAT THE BOARD APPROVED IS THAT WE GO
- 6 TO ONE TO TEN. THERE WAS A DISCUSSION ABOUT A FINDING, AN
- 7 APPARENT FINDING OF THE D.O.J. IN ONE OF THE CAMPS THAT, AT A
- 8 POINT IN TIME, THEY SAW ONE IN 27. THAT'S WHERE THAT CAME
- 9 FROM. IT'S NOT PART OF THE FINAL REPORT.

10

- 11 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU. I JUST WANTED CLARIFICATION. THANK
- 12 YOU VERY MUCH.

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YOU GOT IT. ALL RIGHT. WITHOUT
- 15 OBJECTION, THAT WILL BE THE ORDER ON THE ITEM THAT WE JUST HAD
- 16 BEFORE US. MY MOTION IS APPROVED FOR A REPORT BACK IN 60 DAYS.
- 17 AND MR. TAYLOR WILL KEEP US POSTED. THANK YOU. MS. BURKE, I
- 18 THINK THAT'S IT, RIGHT? I HAVE ONE ADJOURNING MOTION. AND IT
- 19 IS SHARON KAPLAN PASSED AWAY LAST WEEK ON FEBRUARY 15TH AFTER
- 20 A TWO-YEAR BATTLE WITH CANCER. SHARON WAS VICE PRESIDENT OF
- 21 PSOMAS AND ASSOCIATES, A VERY PROMINENT CIVIL ENGINEERING FIRM
- 22 HERE IN LOS ANGELES IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. SHE STARTED OUT AS
- 23 A DEPUTY AND COUNCILWOMAN, FORMER COUNCILWOMAN IN PAT
- 24 RUSSELL'S OFFICE. WE KNEW HER-- SHARON KAPLAN. ALL MEMBERS.
- 25 AND WE KNEW HER WHEN SHE WAS A DEPUTY IN CITY HALL IN LOS



- 1 ANGELES. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER HUSBAND, ROBERT CRANE, AND HER
- 2 SISTER, PATTY KAPLAN, AND HER PARENTS, MEL AND BEA KAPLAN. SHE
- 3 WAS A PHI BETA KAPPA GRADUATE FROM U.C.L.A AND REALLY A VERY
- 4 NICE PERSON AND DID A GREAT JOB FOR THE FIRM AND SHE WILL BE
- 5 MISSED. SHE WAS 56 YEARS OLD.

6

7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WOW, SHE WAS NOT VERY OLD.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S MY ONLY ADJOURNING MOTION.
- 10 UNANIMOUS VOTE. MR. KNABE.

- 12 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. A NUMBER OF ADJOURNMENTS,
- 13 UNFORTUNATELY. FIRST OF ALL, THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF MR.
- 14 FLOYD WILLIAMS. YOU DO NOT HAVE THIS ONE. WE WILL GET THE
- 15 INFORMATION TO YOU. A LONG-TIME FAMILY FRIEND AND BEST FRIEND
- 16 OF TOM BLACKMAN AND GODFATHER TO BRIANNA. ALSO WE ADJOURN IN
- 17 MEMORY OF JOAN MUNNS, MOTHER OF SHARON NEELY AND A RESIDENT OF
- 18 BULLHEAD CITY, PASSED AWAY THIS PAST SUNDAY. LONG-TIME
- 19 COMMUNITY ACTIVIST HERE IN CALIFORNIA AND LOS ANGELES COUNTY.
- 20 SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER DAUGHTER, SHARON, AND SHE WILL BE
- 21 DEEPLY MISSED BY ALL THAT KNEW HER. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN
- 22 MEMORY OF DOLORES BALL, A LONG-TIME WHITTIER COLLEGE TRUSTEE
- 23 AND COMMUNITY LEADER WHO PASSED AWAY RECENTLY AT HER HOME. SHE
- 24 BECAME A WHITTIER TRUSTEE IN 1962 AND REMAINED A MEMBER OF THE
- 25 PANEL UP TO HER DEATH. SHE WAS ACTIVE IN THE COLLEGE, WOMEN S



- 1 AUXILIARY, LOCAL PTA, BOY SCOUT GROUPS AND THE FIRST UNITED
- 2 METHODIST CHURCH OF WHITTIER. SHE WILL BE GREATLY MISSED BY
- 3 HER FAMILY, FRIENDS AND COLLEAGUES FROM WHITTIER. ALSO ADJOURN
- 4 IN MEMORY OF JOAN DONTANVILLE, MANHATTAN BEACH'S FIRST WOMAN
- 5 TO BE ELECTED TO THE CITY COUNCIL. SHE PASSED AWAY ON FEBRUARY
- 6 6TH. SHE AND HER HUSBAND, MARVIN, MOVED TO MANHATTAN BEACH IN
- 7 THE 1950S BECAUSE THEY JUST ABSOLUTELY LOVED THE BEACH. SHE
- 8 WAS AN ACTIVE P.T.A. MOTHER, MOVED ON TO THE MANHATTAN BEACH
- 9 PLANNING COMMISSION AND WORKED ON PROJECTS LIKE CREATION OF
- 10 BEAUTIFUL SAND DUNE PARK AND THEN SHE RAN FOR MANHATTAN BEACH
- 11 CITY COUNCIL IN 1972, SERVING ONE TERM. SHE'S SURVIVED BY TWO
- 12 SONS, STEVEN AND SCOTT, HER DAUGHTER, STACEY, AND SEVEN
- 13 GRANDCHILDREN. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF KIMBERLY
- 14 NEJDL, WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE VERY YOUNG AGE OF 49. SHE WAS
- 15 BORN IN HARBOR CITY, GRADUATED FROM SOKOL HIGH SCHOOL AND
- 16 ATTENDED GOLDEN WEST COLLEGE. SHE CAME AND HAD MANY CHALLENGES
- 17 IN HER LIFE. SHE WAS AN INSPIRATION TO ALL WITH HER WARM
- 18 PERSONALITY AND SMILE. SHE WAS AN EXTREMELY GIVING PERSON,
- 19 VOLUNTEERING AT THE LONG BEACH V.A. HOSPITAL FOR SOME 20
- 20 YEARS. KIM IS SURVIVED BY HER MOTHER, MARY, HER SISTERS, JULIE
- 21 AND JANE AND HER BROTHERS, MICHAEL AND DAVID. ALSO THAT WE
- 22 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF LILIAN WHITEHORN, WHO PASSED AWAY THIS
- 23 PAST WEEK. SHE'LL BE SORELY MISSED BY HER FAMILY AND FRIENDS.
- 24 SHE'S SURVIVED BY HER DAUGHTER, PAT. THOSE ARE MY
- 25 ADJOURNMENTS.



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: UNANIMOUS VOTE. MR. ANTONOVICH.

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'D LIKE TO MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF
- 5 JUDGE THOMAS FOYE, WHO WAS FIRST APPOINTED TO THE BENCH BY
- 6 GOVERNOR RONALD REAGAN AND RECEIVED HIS GRADUATE DEGREE FROM
- 7 LOYOLA AND WAS A FORMER MAYOR OF MANHATTAN BEACH. DR. JOHN
- 8 HEYNING, WHO WAS DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH COLLECTIONS AT
- 9 THE NATURAL HISTORY MUSEUM WHO PASSED AWAY FEBRUARY 17TH. AND
- 10 MAX HUGEL, WHO WAS VICE CHAIRMAN OF THE CARMEN GROUP. HE HAD
- 11 AN INTERESTING LIFE. RAISED IN AN ORPHANAGE WHICH WAS FOUNDED
- 12 BY HIS OWN FATHER WHO HAD DIED BEFORE LAYING EYES ON MAX, HE
- 13 SERVED IN WORLD WAR II, LEARNED TO SPEAK JAPANESE, TOOK A
- 14 LITTLE-KNOWN JAPANESE SEWING COMPANY MANUFACTURING INTO
- 15 BROTHER INTERNATIONAL. HE WAS AN ANGEL INVESTOR TO SMALL
- 16 BUSINESSES. IN 1979, HE RAN THE NATIONAL VOTER GROUPS, WHICH
- 17 WAS THE FORERUNNER FOR RONALD REAGAN'S ELECTION AS PRESIDENT.
- 18 HE BECAME THE FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THE CENTRAL
- 19 INTELLIGENCE AGENCY FOR ADMINISTRATION AND THEN FOR THE CIA'S
- 20 OPERATIONS. HE PASSED AWAY YESTERDAY, ON MONDAY, AT HIS HOME.
- 21 PETER ELLENSHAW, ACADEMY AWARD WINNING SPECIAL EFFECTS ARTIST
- 22 WHO WORKED ON MANY OF THE DISNEY FIRMS SUCH AS 20,000 LEAGUES
- 23 UNDER THE SEA, DARBY O'GILL, LITTLE PEOPLE AND MARY POPPINS.
- 24 HE WON HIS OSCAR, WHICH HE WON THE OSCAR FOR. HE WAS 93. AND
- 25 JOE BARBARA OFTEN SAID THAT THE REASON THESE CARTOONISTS LIVE



- I TO BE IN THEIR 90S AND 100S IS BECAUSE THEY LAUGH AND LAUGHTER
- 2 IS WHAT GAVE THEM A LONG LIFE. AND JOE BARBARA, WHO RECENTLY
- 3 PASSED AWAY, ALSO WAS IN HIS LATE-- EARLY 90S. LOREN GREY,
- 4 FORMER LONG TIME PROFESSOR AT CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AT
- 5 NORTHRIDGE AND THE SON OF ZANE GREY, WHO PASSED AWAY. HE WAS
- 6 91 AND HE WAS PRESIDENT OF THE ZANE GREY INCORPORATED AND ALSO
- 7 SERVED WITH THE NAVY DURING WORLD WAR II.

8

9 SUP. KNABE: I'D LIKE TO BE IN THAT AS WELL.

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ROBERT KASPARIAN, WHO I GREW UP WITH, THIRD
- 12 GENERATION GROCERY BUSINESS WHERE HE WORKED. HE SPENT MOST OF
- 13 HIS YOUTH AS A BOX BOY AND HE JOINED HIS FATHER TO ENLARGE
- 14 SIGNAL MARKET IN HUNTINGTON PARK WHERE AT THE BECAME THE
- 15 MANAGER AND SUBSEQUENTLY PASSED ON THE MARKET DUTIES TO HIS
- 16 BUSINESS ASSOCIATES UPON HIS RETIREMENT. SHARON KAPLAN,
- 17 PROJECT MANAGER FOR PSOMAS, A CIVIL ENGINEERING CONSULTING
- 18 FIRM. VIRGINIA ELFRINK JORGENSEN, WHO WAS A FORMER CHAIRMAN OF
- 19 THE UNIVERSITY HIGH SCHOOL ENGLISH DEPARTMENT AND ALSO
- 20 PUBLISHED MANY ARTICLES FOR THE ENGLISH JOURNAL AND ACTIVE AT
- 21 SAINT ALVIN'S CHURCH. RAYMONDE HARTER, WHO PASSED AWAY ON
- 22 FEBRUARY 17TH. SERGEANT DENNIS SELLEN, WHO WAS A MEMBER OF THE
- 23 CALIFORNIA NATIONAL GUARD, PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 20 IN A
- 24 NON-COMBAT-RELATED INJURY IN IRAQ. HE HAD BEEN ASSIGNED TO THE
- 25 185TH INFANTRY REGIMEN. KELLIE JEANE CERIN, WHO PASSED AWAY ON



- 1 FEBRUARY 1ST. DR. WILLIAM CATON, HE WAS A PRIVATE PRACTICE
- 2 MEDICAL DOCTOR IN PALMDALE AND HE DID HIS INTERNSHIP IN THE
- 3 PANAMA CANAL. CHARLENE MORRIS, WHO WAS A LONG-TIME MEMBER OF
- 4 THE 4-H AND FUTURE FARMERS OF AMERICA PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE
- 5 OF 26. HING FONG WOO, THE OWNER OF JADE GARDEN RESTAURANT IN
- 6 LOS ANGELES. HING FONG WOO IS THE FATHER-IN-LAW OF AL MEDINA,
- 7 THE L.A. COUNTY DIRECTOR OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
- 8 BUREAU, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, AND HIS DAUGHTER, DIANA
- 9 MEDINA, WORKS FOR THE EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT OF THE BOARD OF
- 10 PUBLIC WORKS FOR THE OFFICE OF ACCOUNTING IN THE CITY OF LOS
- 11 ANGELES. HE WAS A PROUD RESIDENT OF OUR COUNTY FOR 70 YEARS.
- 12 VERY HAPPY TO BE A CITIZEN AND HE WAS PHILANTHROPIC SUPPORTER
- 13 OF THE DISABLED VETERANS OF AMERICA. HE NAMED BOTH CHILDREN
- 14 CO, MIDDLE NAME MAY SO THAT MAY CO, WHICH MEANS AMERICA, HE
- 15 WAS SO PROUD OF BEING AN AMERICAN.

16

17 SUP. KNABE: I'D LIKE TO BE ON THAT AS WELL.

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: JERRY MAXWELL, LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S
- 20 DEPUTY, RETIRED. FRED SAVAGE, LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S
- 21 DEPUTY SERGEANT, RETIRED. JOHN, "JACK" STEWART, SERGEANT,
- 22 RETIRED LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. AND DONNA
- 23 JEAN TITE, WHO WAS A GREAT-GRANDMOTHER AND WORKED WITH HER
- 24 SON, ROLAND, AT THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY RACEWAY FOR MORE THAN



- 1 20 YEARS. HER FAVORITE PASSION WAS DRAG RACING. THOSE ARE MY
- 2 MOTIONS FOR ADJOURNMENT.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: UNANIMOUS VOTE.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND, ON FEBRUARY 14TH AT APPROXIMATELY 11:30,
- 7 A 26-YEAR-OLD LEON HUDDLESON WAS FOUND SEVERELY BEATEN AT DE
- 8 YOUNG'S TAVERN IN LANCASTER. HE SUFFERED MULTIPLE SKULL
- 9 FRACTURES, BROKEN JAW RIBS. THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT IS
- 10 SEEKING ASSISTANCE WITH ANYONE THAT MAY AID IN THEIR
- 11 INVESTIGATION OF THIS CRIME. I WOULD OFFER THAT THE BOARD
- 12 OFFER A REWARD OF \$10,000 FOR INFORMATION LEADING TO THE
- 13 ARREST AND CONVICTION OF THOSE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE BRUTAL
- 14 BEATING OF LEON HUDDLESON, WHO SUFFERED MULTIPLE SKULL
- 15 FRACTURES WHILE AT THE DE YOUNG TAVERN IN LANCASTER. AND THE
- 16 CONTACT IS BRAD FREEHAN, 661-940-3845. SO MOVED ON THAT
- 17 MOTION.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: UNANIMOUS VOTE.

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: A RECENT PROPOSAL BY THE CALIFORNIA
- 22 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, L.A. COUNTY RECEIVED ONLY 12% OF
- 23 THE CORRIDOR MOBILITY IMPROVEMENT ACCOUNT MADE AVAILABLE TO
- 24 THE STATE INFRASTRUCTURE BOND, WHICH WAS PROP 1-B. WITH NEARLY
- 25 30% OF THE STATE POPULATION IN THE GREATER SHARE AND



- 1 CONGESTION IN GOODS MOVEMENT, L.A. COUNTY OUGHT TO RECEIVE, AT
- 2 MINIMUM, ITS FAIR SHARE OF THESE DOLLARS, ESPECIALLY THAT L.A.
- 3 COUNTY APPROVED THE PROPOSAL ON A NEARLY TWO TO ONE RATIO LAST
- 4 NOVEMBER. SEVERAL VITAL PROJECTS WITHIN L.A. COUNTY WERE NOT
- 5 APPROVED. THEY INCLUDED THE HOV LANES ON I-405, THE STATE
- 6 ROUTE 138 WIDENING SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS AND HOV LANES ON 110
- 7 BETWEEN CITRUS STREET AND THE STATE ROUTE 57 AND THE I-10605
- 8 INTERCHANGE TRANSITION CONNECTOR. SO I'D MOVE THAT THE BOARD
- 9 SEND A FIVE SIGNATURE LETTER TO OUR GOVERNOR, MEMBERS OF THE
- 10 CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION AND THEIR EXECUTIVE
- 11 DIRECTOR REQUESTING A MINIMUM L.A. COUNTY'S FAIR SHARE OF
- 12 THOSE FUNDS WITH A RECONSIDERATION AND PRIORITIZATION OF THOSE
- 13 SUBMITTED PROJECTS. I'D LIKE TO DO THIS TODAY BECAUSE THEY'RE
- 14 GOING TO BE VOTING ON IT...

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. MAKE THE FINDING THAT
- 17 IT CAME TO THE ATTENTION AFTER THE POSTING OF THE AGENDA
- 18 WITHOUT OBJECTION. UNANIMOUS VOTE. I'LL SECOND THE MOTION.

19

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU. THAT'S IT, MR. CHAIRMAN.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WITHOUT ANY OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS
- 23 VOTE ON MR. ANTONOVICH'S MOTION. ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE NOBODY WHO
- 24 WANTS TO BE HEARD ON PUBLIC COMMENT. SO WE'LL CLOSE SESSION.



1	CLERK	SACHT	HAMAT:	BEFORE	Т	CATI	THE	CLOSED	SESSION

- 2 ANNOUNCEMENT, ARE THERE ANY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO WISH TO
- 3 COME FORWARD TO ADDRESS THE BOARD REGARDING CLOSED SESSION
- 4 ITEM NO. 4, CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS? IF NOT,
- 5 IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT REQUIREMENTS, NOTICE IS HEREBY
- 6 GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL CONVENE IN CLOSED
- 7 SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM CS-1, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL
- 8 REGARDING EXISTING LITIGATION, ITEM CS-3, CONFERENCE WITH
- 9 LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION,
- 10 ONE CASE. ITEM CS-4, CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY
- 11 NEGOTIATORS, DAVID E. JANSSEN, STAN WISNIEWSKI, AND RICHARD
- 12 VOLPERT WITH RESPECT TO NEGOTIATIONS FOR PROPOSED LEASE
- 13 EXTENSIONS FOR PROPERTY WITHIN THE MARINA DEL RAY SMALL CRAFT
- 14 HARBOR AND ITEM CS-5, CONSIDERATION OF CANDIDATES FOR THE
- 15 APPOINTMENT TO THE POSITION OF DIRECTOR OF CHILD SUPPORT
- 16 SERVICES, IN CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR, MICHAEL J.
- 17 HENRY, DIRECTOR OF PERSONNEL. AS INDICATED ON THE POSTED
- 18 AGENDA. THANK YOU.

19

20

21

22

23

24



1	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter							
2	Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of							
3	California, do hereby certify:							
4	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the							
5	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors February 20, 2007,							
6	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my							
7	direction and supervision;							
8	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as							
9	archived in the office of the reporter and which							
10	have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of							
11	Supervisors as certified by me.							
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor							
13	related to any party to the said action; nor							
14	in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.							
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this							
16	16th day of February 2007 for the County records to be used							
17	only for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts							
18	as on file of the office of the reporter.							
19								
20	JENNIFER A. HINES							
21	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR							
22								